

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Bill No: SB 964
Author: Smallwood-Cuevas (D)
Amended: 8/17/26
Vote: 21

SENATE HEALTH COMMITTEE: 11-0, 3/25/26

AYES: Weber Pierson, Valladares, Caballero, Durazo, Gonzalez, Grove,
Menjivar, Padilla, Pérez, Rubio, Smallwood-Cuevas

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: 7-0, 5/14/26

AYES: Cervantes, Seyarto, Cabaldon, Dahle, Grayson, Richardson, Wahab

SENATE FLOOR: 39-0, 5/19/26

AYES: Allen, Alvarado-Gil, Archuleta, Arreguín, Ashby, Becker, Blakespear,
Cabaldon, Caballero, Cervantes, Choi, Cortese, Dahle, Durazo, Gonzalez,
Grayson, Grove, Hurtado, Jones, Laird, Limón, McGuire, McNerney, Menjivar,
Ochoa Bogh, Padilla, Pérez, Reyes, Richardson, Rubio, Seyarto, Smallwood-
Cuevas, Stern, Strickland, Umberg, Valladares, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener
NO VOTE RECORDED: Niello

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 77-0, 8/24/26 - See last page for vote

SUBJECT: Prescription drug coverage: dose adjustments

SOURCE: Crohn's and Colitis Foundation

DIGEST: This bill authorizes a treating health care provider to request, and be granted, the authority (from health plans and insurers) to adjust the dose or frequency of a drug to meet the specific medical needs of an enrollee or insured with a serious chronic condition or cancer, without prior authorization or subsequent utilization management, under specified conditions.

Assembly Amendments apply this bill to contracting providers, require a provider to submit a written request, and require the plan or insurer to respond within 72 hours of receipt of the request with the authorization if the conditions of this bill are

established in the request. Replace “chronic medical condition” with “series chronic condition, as specified.” Delete the condition related to off-label use and instead require that any off-label use of a drug or adjusted dose or frequency that is for a use that is different than what has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration, must additionally meet conditions described in existing off-label use law, as specified.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Establishes the Department of Managed Health Care (DMHC) to regulate health plans under the Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 1975 (Knox-Keene Act) and the California Department of Insurance (CDI) to regulate health and other insurers under the Insurance Code. [Health and Safety Code (HSC) §1340, et seq., and Insurance Code (INS) §106, et seq.]
- 2) Prohibits a health plan contract or insurance policy that covers prescription drug benefits from limiting or excluding coverage for a drug on the basis that the drug is prescribed for a use that is different from the use for which that drug has been approved for marketing by the federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA), provided that all of the following conditions have been met (This is referred to as “off-label” requirements):
 - a) The drug is approved by the FDA;
 - b) The drug is prescribed by a participating licensed health care professional for the treatment of a life-threatening condition; or the drug is prescribed by a participating licensed health care professional for the treatment of a chronic and seriously debilitating condition, the drug is medically necessary to treat that condition, and the drug is on the plan formulary. Requires, if the drug is not on the plan formulary, the participating subscriber’s request to be considered pursuant to an expeditious process;
 - c) The drug has been recognized for treatment of that condition by any of the following:
 - i) The American Hospital Formulary Service’s Drug Information;
 - ii) One of the following compendia, if recognized by the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services as part of an anticancer chemotherapeutic regimen:

- (1) The Elsevier Gold Standard's Clinical Pharmacology;
 - (2) The National Comprehensive Cancer Network Drug and Biologics Compendium; or,
 - (3) The Thomson Micromedex DrugDex; and,
- d) Two articles from major peer reviewed medical journals that present data supporting the proposed off-label use or uses as generally safe and effective unless there is clear and convincing contradictory evidence presented in a major peer reviewed medical journal. [HSC §1367.21 and INS §10123.195]
- 3) Requires any coverage required pursuant to 2) above to also include medically necessary services associated with the administration of a drug, subject to the conditions of the contract. States that 2) shall not be construed to prohibit the use of a formulary, copayment, technology assessment panel, or similar mechanism as a means for appropriately controlling the utilization of a drug that is prescribed for a use that is different from the use for which that drug has been approved for marketing by the FDA. [HSC §1367.21 and INS §10123.195]
 - 4) Requires health plans to maintain an expeditious process by which the prescribing provider may obtain authorization for a medically necessary nonformulary prescription drug, except the process is not required for nonformulary drugs that have been prescribed pursuant to 5) above. [HSC §1367.24]
 - 5) Defines a serious chronic condition as a medical condition due to disease, illness, or other medical problem or medical disorder that is serious in nature and that persists without full cure or worsens over an extended period of time or requires ongoing treatment to maintain remission or prevent deterioration. [HSC §1373.96(c)(2) and INS §10133.56 (a)(1)(B)]

This bill:

- 1) Authorizes a treating contracting provider to submit a written request to a health plan or insurer requesting the authority to adjust the dose or frequency of a drug to meet the specific medical needs of the enrollee or insured, without prior authorization or subsequent utilization management. Requires the plan or insurer to issue a written response to the requesting provider within 72 hours of

receipt of the request, which authorizes the request if all of the following conditions are established in the request:

- a) The drug was previously approved for the enrollee/insured for a serious chronic medical condition, as defined, or cancer treatment and the drug continues to be prescribed by the treating provider for the serious condition or cancer treatment;
 - b) The drug is not an opioid or a scheduled controlled substance; and,
 - c) The dose has not been adjusted more than two times without prior authorization.
- 2) Indicates 1) above does not apply to a drug, or an adjusted dose or frequency or a drug that is for a use that is different than the one for which that drug has been approved for marketing by the FDA, unless it additionally meets the conditions in existing off-label use law.
- 3) Exempts from this bill Medi-Cal managed care plans, as specified.

Comments

According to the author of this bill:

More than 16 million Californians live with at least one chronic condition, and many depend on prescription medications to manage their health and, in some cases, to survive. For these patients, adjustments in dosage or frequency are often a routine and medically necessary part of effective treatment — not a new or different therapy. Yet under current insurance practices, even minor, clinically appropriate adjustments are frequently treated as entirely new treatments. This triggers burdensome prior authorization requirements, denials, and lengthy appeals processes that delay care, disrupt treatment plans, and place unnecessary administrative strain on patients and providers. These delays can lead to worsened health outcomes due to preventable complications or disease progression. This bill addresses that gap by ensuring that patients with chronic, complex conditions — such as Crohn’s disease — may receive up to two clinically justified dose or frequency adjustments per covered medication without repeated prior authorization barriers. By protecting continuity of care while maintaining appropriate clinical safeguards, the measure supports better health outcomes,

reduces avoidable delays, and promotes a more efficient and patient-centered healthcare system.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: Yes

According to the Assembly Committee on Appropriation:

- 1) DMHC estimates minor and absorbable costs.
- 2) CDI anticipates minor and absorbable costs in FY 2026-27 and FY 2027-28 to review insurance policy documents (Insurance Fund).
- 3) The California Public Employee Retirement System (CalPERS) estimates increases in premiums for CalPERS members in DMHC-regulated health plans of around \$310,000, of which the state's share is approximately \$150,000 (General Fund). CalPERS costs for CDI-regulated policies would likely be less than \$150,000 (General Fund).

SUPPORT: (Verified 8/20/26)

Crohn's and Colitis Foundation (source)
 Alliance for Headache Disorders Advocacy
 Association of Northern California Oncologists
 Bleeding Disorders Council of California
 California Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
 California Academy of Family Physicians
 California Access Coalition
 California Chapter American College of Cardiology
 California Chronic Care Coalition
 California Hospital Association
 California Long-Term Care Ombudsman Association
 California Medical Association
 California Pharmacists Association
 California Retired Teachers Association
 California Rheumatology Alliance
 Cedars-Sinai Health System
 Health Access California
 Kheir Clinic
 National Health Law Program
 National Infusion Center Association
 Physician Association of California
 St. John's Community Health

University Muslim Medical Association Health
U.S. Pain Foundation
Western Center on Law & Poverty, Inc.
Westside Family Health Center

OPPOSITION: (Verified 8/20/26)

Association of California Life & Health Insurance Companies
California Association of Health Plans

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: The Crohn's and Colitis Foundation, the source of this bill, states that some patients require treatment tailored to the individual and when a patient is stable, disrupting treatment because of insurance company denials of medication or dose optimization of approved prescriptions puts patients at risk of hospitalization and even death. According to the sponsor, currently every dose adjustment requires approval by the health plan which often results in delays, and denials if the dose is different from the dose on the medication label. The California Advocacy Team of the U.S. Pain Foundation writes that for patients living with chronic pain and other chronic conditions, treatment plans are often carefully recalibrated over time. Even short delays in medication adjustments can result in avoidable suffering, functional decline, and increased reliance on urgent or emergency services. These supporters believe this bill promotes continuity and stability by ensuring that patients who have been continuously using prescribed medication do not face sudden loss of coverage due to administrative barriers. The California Hospital Association writes that this bill offers thoughtful and balanced reform that meaningfully reduces administrative barriers to care while preserving appropriate safeguards. Health Access California writes under existing practice, health plans often require new prior authorization for each dose or frequency adjustment, even when medically necessary, and when these requests are denied, and later overturned on appeal, this creates avoidable delays that can negatively affect patients' care and health. Health Access believes this bill would prevent unnecessary delays in access for consumers with chronic conditions and cancer by prohibiting a health plan or insurer from excluding from or limiting coverage for a prescription that the consumer has been continuously using while covered by their existing or previous health coverage.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: The Association of California Life and Health Insurance Companies and the California Association of Health Plans are concerned this bill may create patient safety concerns and write that when they limit a drug or specific dose of a drug, it is generally for safety reasons, including

potential abuse or overuse, inconsistent usage with FDA-approved labeling or to prevent use at doses that have not been studied or shown to be efficacious. The opposition also believes this bill will increase costs and will undermine utilization management protocols.

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 77-0, 8/24/26

AYES: Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Alanis, Alvarez, Arambula, Ávila Farías, Bains, Bauer-Kahan, Bennett, Berman, Boerner, Bonta, Bryan, Calderon, Caloza, Carrillo, Castillo, Chen, Connolly, Davies, DeMaio, Dixon, Elhawary, Ellis, Flora, Fong, Gabriel, Garcia, Gipson, Jeff Gonzalez, Mark González, Hadwick, Haney, Harabedian, Hart, Hoover, Irwin, Jackson, Johnson, Kalra, Krell, Lee, Lowenthal, Macedo, Muratsuchi, Nguyen, Ortega, Pacheco, Papan, Patel, Patterson, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Quirk-Silva, Ramos, Ransom, Celeste Rodriguez, Michelle Rodriguez, Rogers, Blanca Rubio, Sanchez, Schiavo, Schultz, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Soria, Stefani, Ta, Tangipa, Valencia, Wallis, Ward, Wicks, Wilson, Zbur, Rivas

NO VOTE RECORDED: Lackey, McKinnor

Prepared by: Teri Boughton / HEALTH / (916) 651-4111
10/12/26 9:32:00

**** END ****