
UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Bill No: SB 709
Author: Menjivar (D), et al.
Amended: 10/6/25
Vote: 21

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE: 11-0, 5/6/25

AYES: Umberg, Allen, Arreguín, Ashby, Caballero, Durazo, Laird, Stern, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener

NO VOTE RECORDED: Niello, Valladares

SENATE FLOOR: 30-6, 6/3/25

AYES: Allen, Archuleta, Arreguín, Ashby, Becker, Blakespear, Cabaldon, Caballero, Cervantes, Cortese, Durazo, Gonzalez, Grayson, Hurtado, Laird, Limón, McGuire, McNerney, Menjivar, Ochoa Bogh, Padilla, Pérez, Richardson, Rubio, Smallwood-Cuevas, Stern, Umberg, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener

NOES: Alvarado-Gil, Choi, Grove, Jones, Seyarto, Strickland

NO VOTE RECORDED: Dahle, Niello, Reyes, Valladares

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 57-17, 9/10/25 - See last page for vote

SUBJECT: Self-service storage facilities: rental agreement disclosures

SOURCE: Consumer Federation of California

DIGEST: This bill requires a self-storage facility to disclose in a rental agreement with a renter of a self-storage unit certain details regarding the rental agreement, promotional prices or discounts received by the renter, how the renter can terminate the rental agreement, the contact information for the owner of the self-storage facility, and if the rental fee is subject to change, and if so, the maximum rental fee that the owner could charge during the first 12 months following the date of the rental agreement, as specified.

Assembly Amendments of 9/4/25 specify that the disclosures required by this bill's provisions must be made in larger type than the surrounding text of the first page of the rental agreement.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Establishes the California Self storage Facilities Act to regulate the rental agreements between a self-storage facility and a renter of a storage unit, specify how a self-storage facility may sell a renter's personal property for non-payment of rent and other charges, and specify limits on allowable late payment fees and other rules regarding self-storage facilities. (Business (Bus.) & Professions (Prof.) Code §§ 21700 et seq.)
- 2) Specifies that an owner of a self-storage facility or their heirs or successors hold a lien on a renter's personal property located in a storage unit, for the purposes of recovering any owed rent payments, labor costs, late payment fees, expenses for holding and disposing of the property, or other charges incurred pursuant to the rental agreement. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21702.)
- 3) Provides that each contract for the rental or lease of individual storage space requires a statement that the occupant's property will be subject to a claim of lien and may even be sold to satisfy the lien if the rent or other charges due remain unpaid for 14 consecutive days. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21712 (a).)
- 4) Requires every contract for the rental or lease of individual storage space in a self-service storage facility to be in writing and to contain a statement that the occupant's property will be subject to a claim of lien and may even be sold to satisfy the lien if the rent or other charges due remain unpaid for 14 consecutive days. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21712 (a).)
- 5) Provides that a self-storage facility may terminate a renter's right to use the storage unit if rent or other charges remain unpaid after 14 consecutive days, the facility sends the renter a specified notice of the delinquent payments and the timeline by which the facility may deny the renter access to the unit and sell the contents, and the owed charges are not paid within 14 days of the mailing of the notice. (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 21703-21705.)
 - a) Provides that, at the end of the 14-day period after the initial notice is mailed to the renter, the lien attaches, and the facility may deny the renter access to the unit, and the owner of the facility may enter the unit and remove any

- property to a place for safekeeping, if the rent remains unpaid. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21705.)
- b) Specifies that, once the owner of the facility has taken those actions, they must mail the renter a blank declaration of opposition to the lien sale and a notice of lien sale that states, among other things, that the property in the unit may be sold after a specified date not less than 14 days from the mailing of the lien sale notice. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21705(b).)
- 6) Specifies that, if a declaration of opposition to the lien sale is not received by the owner of the facility, or is withdrawn, before the specified date in the notice of lien sale, the owner may sell the renter's property. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21706.)
- 7) Specifies that, if a declaration of opposition to the lien sale is received, the owner of the facility may only enforce the lien through civil court or a small claims court. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21710.)
- 8) Specifies that, for the process of selling property after expiration of the time in the notice of lien pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 21705, an advertisement of sale must be published before the sale in either of the following ways: by once per week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation published in the public notice district where the sale is to be held, or in the county where the self-service storage facility is located; once in a newspaper of general circulation published in the public notice district where the sale is to be held or in the county where the self-service storage facility is located and once on any publicly accessible internet website that customarily conducts or advertises online auctions or sales, on which the advertisement must remain for at least 7 days. If there is no newspaper of general circulation published in the public notice district where the sale is to be held or the county where the self-storage facility is located, requires the advertisement be posted at least 10 days before the sale in at least six conspicuous places in the neighborhood of the proposed sale. (Bus. & Prof Code § 21707.)
- 9) Specifies that, minus the amount of the lien and costs of the sale, the owner must retain the excess proceeds of the sale on the occupant's behalf, to be claimed by the occupant or other person within one year of the sale, after which the remaining proceeds must be paid to the treasury of the county in which the sale was held. (*Id.*)

- 10) Provides that the required notices, as specified, may be sent to the occupant at their provided electronic mail address only if: the rental agreement states that lien notices may be sent to the occupant and the alternate address by electronic mail; the occupant provides a written signature on the rental agreement consenting to receive lien notices by electronic mail. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 21712(c).)
- 11) Prohibits, upon the proclamation of a state of emergency declared by the President of the United States or the Governor or a declaration of a local emergency, a person, contractor, business, or other entity to sell or offer to sell any consumer food items or goods, including storage services, for a price that is more than 10% greater than the price charged immediately prior to the proclamation or declaration of emergency. Specifies that this prohibition begins at the time of the proclamation, and extends for 30 days following it. (Penal (Pen.) Code § 396(b).)

This bill:

- 1) Requires a rental agreement for a self-storage unit to disclose, as prescribed in (2), the following:
 - a) The initial length and renewal term of the rental agreement;
 - b) Whether the occupant has received a promotional or discounted rental fee;
 - c) If the occupant has received a promotional or discounted rental fee, the duration of the promotional or discounted rental fee;
 - d) Whether the rental fee is subject to change, and if so, the maximum rental fee that the self-storage owner could charge during the first 12 months following the date of the rental agreement;
 - e) All required steps that the renter must take to terminate the rental agreement and avoid future rental fees or other charges, including removing all personal property from the renter's self-storage unit; and
 - f) The contact information of the self-storage facility owner.
- 2) Requires that the disclosures described (a) through (e) of (1), above, be made on the first page of the rental agreement, and that all disclosures required in (1), above, be made in larger type than the surrounding text and in a manner that clearly calls attention to the language and meets either of the following:
 - a) are in contrasting type, font, or color to the surrounding text of the same size; or
 - b) are set off from the surrounding text of the same size by symbols or other marks.

- 3) Specifies that its provisions apply only to a rental agreement initially entered into on or after January 1, 2026.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: No Local: No

SUPPORT: (Verified 9/10/25)

Consumer Federation of California (source)
California Democratic Renters Council
California Low-income Consumer Coalition
Public Counsel
Western Center on Law & Poverty

OPPOSITION: (Verified 9/10/25)

Building Owners and Managers Association of California
California Rental Housing Association
Family Business Association of California
Institute of Real Estate Management
Naiop of California
Orange County Business Council
San Diego Regional Chamber of Commerce
Southern California Leadership Council

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:

According to the Consumer Federation of California, which is the sponsor of SB 709:

California is home to more than 3,500 self-storage facilities, with about 60 percent of users relying on storage spaces during the moving process as they settle into their new living situations. A 2024 report revealed that one in five people rely on self-storage for various reasons. In the survey, consumers reported several reasons for relying on storage units, including business storage, home renovations, the need for more space, moving, downsizing, and others. Many other consumers rely on these services in states of emergency such as natural disasters to ensure that their belongings are secure. However, the self-storage industry has become increasingly dominated by large corporations and equity firms who prioritize profit margins over their clients.

At an industry conference in Las Vegas, leaders boasted about and discussed ways to trick consumers by luring them in with low rates, then increasing rental rates by 30-40% once they knew the client would not leave. This practice has become so common within the industry that it is known as ECRI, or Existing Customer Rate Increase. This practice deeply harms consumers, as illustrated by one case where a consumer who had signed a contract for a \$45 rental storage unit saw her rate increase after just two months, and then again, until her monthly rental rate reached \$220 and was forced out because she could no longer afford it.

Bait and switch practices are common within the self-storage industry, with many companies providing consumers with a \$1 introductory rental rate and then spiking up the price once the consumer has signed the rental agreement. If a renter falls behind and cannot pay for their storage unit within 14 days, they risk losing all their belongings to auction. These auctions are intended to help storage facilities recover monetary losses; however, before proceeding, they must provide the renter with a 'notice of public auction.' After receiving the notice, a consumer has 30 days to pay off their outstanding balance; however, the rate at which self-storage facilities increase their monthly rental rates may not give renters enough time to cover their dues. In addition to this, it has been reported that some operators are restructuring, or have already done so, their 30 or 31 billing cycle to a 28 day payment period, enabling them to charge consumers for 13 months instead of the standard 12 month.

The lack of transparency and the practice of regularly increasing rates for consumers is exploitative, leaving many renters to feel trapped and in financial hardship. SB 709 aims to limit the rental rate increase for storage units to the lower of 5% plus the percentage change in the cost of living, or 10%, within any 12-month period. Lastly, the bill ensures that consumers are made aware of the monthly rental rate, discounts, incentives, concessions, or credits within their rental agreement. Through this legislation, we can ensure that consumers are not subject to unfair pricing practices and are protected from unexpected cost increases.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION:

According to the California Rental Housing Association, which opposes SB 709:

On behalf of the organizations listed above, we write to inform you of our strong opposition to SB 709, which imposes commercial rent control under

the guise of regulating self-storage rental agreements. While this bill may appear narrow in scope, it sets a dangerous precedent by allowing the state to interfere with private, market-driven lease agreements.

SB 709 mirrors past attempts at government-imposed rent control which would impose price caps on lease agreements, creating uncertainty for property owners, and imposing a hardship for companies to cover operating costs.

Self-storage operators, like all commercial property owners, face rising costs including property taxes, insurance, security, and maintenance. That revenue is essential for maintaining facilities, paying employees, covering insurance, and complying with local regulations. By arbitrarily capping rental increases, SB 709 forces property owners to absorb financial burdens with no relief, distorting the balance of commercial lease agreements.

This bill is unnecessary government overreach and a direct step toward broader commercial rent control policies. Rather than interfering in private contracts, California should focus on fostering investment and economic growth.

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 57-17, 9/10/25

AYES: Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Alvarez, Arambula, Ávila Farías, Bauer-Kahan, Bennett, Berman, Boerner, Bonta, Bryan, Calderon, Caloza, Carrillo, Connolly, Elhawary, Fong, Gabriel, Garcia, Gipson, Haney, Harabedian, Hart, Irwin, Jackson, Kalra, Krell, Lee, Lowenthal, McKinnor, Muratsuchi, Nguyen, Ortega, Pacheco, Papan, Patel, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Quirk-Silva, Ramos, Ransom, Celeste Rodriguez, Michelle Rodriguez, Rogers, Blanca Rubio, Schiavo, Schultz, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Stefani, Valencia, Ward, Wicks, Wilson, Zbur, Rivas

NOES: Alanis, Castillo, Chen, Davies, DeMaio, Dixon, Ellis, Gallagher, Hadwick, Hoover, Johnson, Lackey, Macedo, Patterson, Sanchez, Ta, Wallis

NO VOTE RECORDED: Bains, Flora, Jeff Gonzalez, Mark González, Soria, Tangipa

Prepared by: Ian Dougherty / JUD. / (916) 651-4113
7/20/26 10:34:52

**** END ****