

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

---

**Bill No:** SB 623  
**Author:** Umberg (D) and Papan (D)  
**Chaptered:** 6/25/26  
**Vote:** 21

---

**SENATE MILITARY & VETERANS COMMITTEE:** 3-0, 1/14/26  
**AYES:** Archuleta, McNerney, Umberg  
**NO VOTE RECORDED:** Grove, Menjivar

**SENATE REVENUE AND TAXATION COMMITTEE:** 5-0, 1/14/26  
**AYES:** McNerney, Valladares, Ashby, Grayson, Umberg

**SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE:** 7-0, 1/22/26  
**AYES:** Caballero, Seyarto, Cabaldon, Dahle, Grayson, Richardson, Wahab

**SENATE FLOOR:** 39-0, 1/29/26  
**AYES:** Allen, Alvarado-Gil, Archuleta, Arreguín, Ashby, Becker, Blakespear, Cabaldon, Caballero, Cervantes, Choi, Cortese, Dahle, Durazo, Gonzalez, Grayson, Grove, Hurtado, Jones, Laird, Limón, McGuire, McNerney, Menjivar, Niello, Ochoa Bogh, Padilla, Pérez, Richardson, Rubio, Seyarto, Smallwood-Cuevas, Stern, Strickland, Umberg, Valladares, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener  
**NO VOTE RECORDED:** Reyes

**ASSEMBLY FLOOR:** 75-0, 6/25/26 - See last page for vote

---

**SUBJECT:** Automobile accidents: civil actions: transportation network companies

**SOURCE:** Consumer Attorneys of California  
Uber Industries, Inc.

---

**DIGEST:** This bill implements the terms of a successful negotiation between stakeholders of qualified ballot initiatives;<sup>1</sup> the Consumer Attorneys of California and Uber Technologies, Inc. negotiated the deal.<sup>2</sup> If this bill is approved by the Legislature, the proponents of the two ballot initiatives have agreed to pull their initiatives as is permitted by Elections Code Section 9604. The deadline to qualify or withdraw from the ballot is 131 days from the election. In this case, the deadline to withdraw the initiatives is June 25, 2026 for the November 3, 2026 election. Pursuant to statute, the Secretary of State must receive the notice of removal by 5:00 PM.

*Assembly Amendments* of 6/22/26 codify the deal reached by Consumer Attorneys of California and Uber Technologies, Inc.

### **ANALYSIS:**

Existing law:<sup>3</sup>

- 1) For the breach of an obligation not arising from contract, the measure of damages, except where otherwise expressly provided by law, is the amount which will compensate for all the detriment proximately caused thereby, whether it could have been anticipated or not. (Civil (Civ.) Code § 3333.)
- 2) Specifies that, except as provided in 3), in any action to recover damages arising out of the operation or use of a motor vehicle, a person is not entitled to recover non-economic losses to compensate for pain, suffering, inconvenience, physical impairment, disfigurement, and other nonpecuniary damages if any of the following applies:
  - a) The injured person was at the time of the accident operating the vehicle while under the influence of controlled substance or alcohol, and was convicted for the violation;
  - b) The injured person was the owner of a vehicle involved in the accident and the vehicle was not insured as required by the financial responsibility laws of this state; or

---

<sup>1</sup> See: *Uber, California lawyers say ballot initiative showdown averted* (June 18, 2026) Los Angeles Times, by Rebecca Ellis, available at: <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2026-06-18/uber-california-trial-attorneys-ballot-initiatives-deal>

<sup>2</sup> The initiative sponsored by Uber is titled *Protecting Automobile Accident Victims from Attorney Self-Dealing Act*, (Initiative 25-0022A1). The initiative sponsored by the Consumer Attorneys of California is titled *Sexual Assault Against Rideshare Passengers and Drivers Prevention and Accountability Act*, (Initiative 25-029A1)

<sup>3</sup> The “Existing Law” and “This bill” sections of this analysis are pulled from the Assembly Judiciary Committee analysis for this bill.

- c) The injured person was the operator of a vehicle involved in the accident and the operator can not establish his or her financial responsibility as required by the financial responsibility laws of this state. (Civ. Code § 3333.4 (a).)
- 3) Provides that the provisions of 2) related to a failure to maintain a financial responsibility for operating a vehicle do not apply if the injuries were the result of another driver operating a vehicle under the influence of controlled substance or alcohol. (Civ. Code § 3333.4 (c).)
  - 4) Provides that any person who suffers injury that is proximately caused by the driver of a commercial motor vehicle is entitled to recover treble damages from the driver's employer where it is shown both that the driver of a commercial motor vehicle was under the influence of alcohol or a controlled substance at the time that the injury was caused and that the driver's employer willfully failed at the time of the injury to comply with any of the requirements of federal law related to testing employees for substance use. (Civ. Code § 3333.7 (a).)
  - 5) Requires a transportation network company to conduct, or have a third party conduct, a local and national criminal background check for each participating driver that includes both of the following:
    - a) The use of a multistate and multijurisdiction criminal records locator or other similar commercial nationwide database with validation; and
    - b) A search of the United States Department of Justice National Sex Offender Public website. (Public (Pub.) Utilities (Util.) Code § 5445.2 (a)(1).)
  - 6) Prohibits a transportation network company from contracting with, employing, or retaining a driver if the driver meets either of the following criteria:
    - a) Is currently registered on the United States Department of Justice National Sex Offender Public website; or
    - b) Has been convicted of a violent felony, human trafficking, or specified activities related to terrorism. (Pub. Util. Code § 5445.2 (a)(2).)
  - 7) Prohibits a transportation network company from contracting with, employing, or retaining a driver if the driver has been convicted of any of the following within the past seven years:
    - a) Misdemeanor assault or battery;
    - b) Domestic violence;
    - c) Driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol; or
    - d) Specified crimes of moral turpitude. (Pub. Util. Code § 5445.2 (a)(3).)

8) Defines the following:

- a) “App-based driver” means an individual who is a delivery network company courier, transportation network company driver, or charter-party carrier or passenger driver or permit holder and meets the criteria for operating as an independent contractor pursuant to Proposition 22 of 2020; and
- b) “Network company” means a business entity that is a delivery network company that maintains an online-enabled application or platform used to facilitate delivery services within the State of California on an on-demand basis, as specified, or a transportation network company that provides prearranged transportation services for compensation using an online-enabled application or platform to connect passengers with drivers using a personal vehicle. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 7463.)

This bill:<sup>4</sup>

- 1) Provides that the provisions of this bill apply to any civil case, claim, action, or arbitration against a network company, its subsidiary, or an app-based driver, as defined, arising out of an automobile accident occurring on or after January 1, 2027, in which a claimant obtained medical treatment by a lien-based provider.
- 2) Exempts from the provisions of this bill any medical services rendered, liens created, receivables assigned, or contractual rights or obligations arising before January 1, 2027. Specifies that nothing in the new section abrogates the collateral source rule.
- 3) Provides that the maximum recovery of a plaintiff for damages for any expense for services rendered by a lien-based provider not to exceed 70th percentile of FAIR Health, Inc.’s billed charges, or the 70th percentile of a comparable commercially recognized billed charges database for the same or similar service in the applicable geographic area at the time the service was rendered, and prohibits a plaintiff from recovering past medical expense damages in excess of that amount.

---

<sup>4</sup> The “Existing Law” and “This bill” sections of this analysis are pulled from the Assembly Judiciary Committee analysis for this bill.

- 4) Clarifies that nothing in this bill is to be construed to establish entitlement to any particular amount for any medical service, or to preclude a defendant from challenging the amount recoverable for any medical expense.
- 5) Permits a court to authorize recovery above the maximum recovery provided in 3) upon motion of the plaintiff only upon a finding, by clear and convincing evidence, and supported by expert testimony, that the service involved exceptionally rare or highly specialized treatment for which no reasonably comparable provider or service was available. Requires any request for recovery above the maximum recovery provided to be determined by the court before trial.
- 6) Entitles the party opposing the motion made in 5) to recover its reasonably attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with opposing the motion if the court denies the motion.
- 7) Makes the amount billed, charged, or claimed by a lien-based provider for past medical expenses in excess of the maximum amount recoverable under this bill void and unenforceable, and prohibits any person or entity from recovering, collecting, enforcing, asserting, seeking payment of, or seeking reimbursement, indemnity, contribution, or subrogation for that excess amount.
- 8) Prohibits any party from introducing, referencing, disclosing, or presenting to the trier of fact any billed charge, lien amount, invoice, statement, or claimed value for past medical expenses exceeding the recoverable amount pursuant to this bill, and prohibits any party from introducing evidence or argument or reference to this bill, including, but not limited to, reference to the maximum amount, as specified.
- 9) Prohibits a plaintiff from recovering as damages for medical expenses an amount greater than the amount actually billed by the lien-based provider for that service.
- 10) Requires damages for medical expenses under this section to be supported by itemized medical bills identifying the services provided at the procedure-code level using generally accepted health care billing and coding standards, including applicable Current Procedural Terminology (CPT), Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS), International Classification of Diseases (ICD), or successor coding systems in order to be recoverable.
- 11) Requires a party challenging compliance with the provisions of 10) to provide written notice to the plaintiff's attorney identifying the alleged deficiency with

reasonable specificity, and grants the provider or party offering the bill 30 days to cure, supplement, or clarify with billing records.

- 12) Limits the maximum recoverable medical expense damages, the maximum amount recoverable by the assignee, and the maximum amount for which the plaintiff may be liable, where a medical lien, receivable, or right to payment has been sold, assigned, financed, factored, or otherwise transferred, to not exceed the total consideration paid or payable in connection with the transaction to acquire the lien, receivable, or right to payment, which in no event can exceed the maximum amount recoverable in 3).
- 13) Makes any agreement relating to the sale, assignment, financing, factoring, or transfer of a medical lien, receivable, or right to payment, and the consideration paid or payable therefor, including any contingent, deferred, recourse-based, or future payments, discoverable and requires it to be disclosed to the plaintiff, the plaintiff's attorney, the defendant, the defendant's attorney, and any applicable insurer within 30 days after the transaction and, in all events, before any settlement or distribution of the settlement proceeds.
- 14) Prohibits any undisclosed lien sale, assignment, financing, factoring arrangement, or transfer from being asserted against a defendant, insurer, settlement, judgment, or settlement proceeds.
- 15) Provides that nothing in this bill precludes any party from challenging the reasonableness of any charge, the medical necessity of any treatment, or the accuracy of any billing, coding, or causation, except as specified.
- 16) Deems any agreement, arrangement, or transaction by which a lien-based provider transfers the economic risk of noncollection of a medical lien to a third party in exchange for immediate or deferred compensation, regardless of whether the transaction is denominated as a sale, assignment, loan, factoring arrangement, management agreement, servicing agreement, or otherwise, as a lien assignment subject to this bill.
- 17) Authorizes the civil discovery of any medical liens relating to the lien-based provider treatment at issue, including any assignment, financing, factoring, referral, ownership, investment, lending, or compensation between a lien-based provider and an attorney, law firm, or affiliated entity relating to the treatment, lien or recovery.
- 18) Requires a lien-based provider, upon request, to provide a declaration under penalty of perjury stating whether the plaintiff was referred by the attorney,

law firm, or any person acting on their behalf and the approximate number of patients referred by that attorney or law firm to the provider during the preceding 24 months. Makes the declaration discoverable.

- 19) Makes it unlawful for an attorney representing a plaintiff under a contingency fee agreement to refer a client to a health care provider in which the attorney or a member of the attorney's immediate family has a direct ownership interest.
- 20) Makes it unlawful for an attorney representing a plaintiff to fee split, receive kickbacks, rebates, or referral compensation in connection with the furnishing of lien-based provider medical treatment for that plaintiff.
- 21) Makes it unlawful for an attorney or law firm to provide bonuses, incentives, or compensation for referrals of clients to lien-based providers for lien-based treatment.
- 22) Prohibits an attorney from charging any additional contingency fee, administrative fee, management fee, or similar fee based upon the reduction, compromise, or resolution of a medical lien, however, nothing in this bill prevents an attorney from retaining a third party to negotiate any lien reductions at a cost with client consent.
- 23) Prohibits a lien-based provider from entering into any agreement or understanding to reduce a medical lien before medical services are rendered, and that a violation of this prohibition may subject the provider to professional discipline.
- 24) Provides that an attorney who violations 19) – 22) may be subject to professional discipline by the State Bar.
- 25) Defines various terms for purposes of this bill, including:
  - a) "Lien-based provider" means any health care provider or other person or entity that renders, furnishes, bills for, finances, or seeks payment for health care-related goods or services provided pursuant to an agreement under which payment is contingent upon, secured by, or expected from, the proceeds of the patient's legal claim. The term includes any facility, hospital, ambulatory surgery center, imaging center, supplier, affiliated entity, or other person or entity participating in the course of treatment or episode of care, regardless of whether that person or entity executed a separate agreement. The term does not include providers furnishing care pursuant to health insurance, government health coverage, or the Hospital Lien Act, as provided pursuant to existing law.

- b) “Medical lien” means any lien, assignment, receivable, right to payment, letter of protection, financing arrangement, factoring arrangement, purchase agreement, or other claim or encumbrance arising from or relating to medical goods or services furnished to a plaintiff or claimant for which payment is contingent upon, secured by, expected from, or recoverable from the proceeds of a legal claim. “Medical lien” does not include any lien, reimbursement claim, or subrogation rights asserted by a private health insurer, Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) plan, workers’ compensation carrier, Medicare, Medi-Cal, TRICARE, or any other federal or state health benefit program.
- 26) Prohibits a transportation network company from contracting with, employing, or retaining a driver if the driver has been convicted of specified sex- or assault-based criminal offenses.
- 27) Prohibits a transportation network company from contracting with, employing, or retaining a driver if, in the previous seven years, the driver has been convicted of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drug, a weapons charge, or violating a protective order.
- 28) Requires a background check to be performed, prior to activation of a transportation network company driver’s account, and once annually thereafter for each participating driver who is authorized to use the transportation network company’s online-enabled application or platform, as specified.
- 29) Authorizes a transportation network company or charter-party carrier of passengers, notwithstanding any other law including the Unruh Civil Rights Act, to allow a woman passenger on its online-enabled application or platform or a participating woman driver to indicate a preference to be matched with a woman driver or woman passenger, respectively, and facilitate passenger-driver matches based on such preferences.
- 30) Deems the provisions of 29) retroactive, without regard to whether or not a transportation company or charter-party carrier’s facilitation of passenger-driver matches occurred before, or occurred on or after, the date on which this bill is enacted, including in civil litigation proceedings initiated prior to the date on which this bill is enacted.
- 31) Provides that nothing in this bill abrogates the collateral sources rule.
- 32) Adopts a severability clause.

## Comments

*According to the authors.* SB 623 strikes a careful balance by enacting several targeted reforms to the rideshare/Transportation Network Company (TNC) industry, the medical lien industry, and attorneys' relationship to the medical lien industry. SB 623 creates a system that's safe, fair, and accountable by protecting patients from unnecessary treatment or getting overcharged, ensuring access to medical care and legal representation, and strengthens TNC safety measures. In order to address concerns in the medical lien industry and their relationship to attorneys, while ensuring that victims get access to care, SB 623 makes several reforms:

- For accidents occurring on or after January 1, 2027, if a plaintiff receives treatment from a lien-based medical provider, the plaintiff generally can not recover more than the 70th percentile as shown in the FAIR Health database for that particular service in that geographic area. Charges above this amount cannot be collected from the plaintiff and are void.
- However, the court can approve a higher amount if the plaintiff can show, by clear and convincing evidence that the treatment was exceptionally rare or highly specialized and no reasonably comparable provider or service was available.
- Requires standardized itemization of all lien-based medical bills.
- If a lien-based care provider sells their lien to a third-party, the maximum amount that the third-party can recover is the consideration paid by that third-party to the lien-based provider for the assignment.
- Makes it unlawful for an attorney representing a person under a contingency fee agreement to refer the client to a healthcare provider in which the attorney (or family member) has a direct ownership interest.
- Makes it unlawful for an attorney to receive a kickback or a fee-split for referring a client to a lien-based provider, or provide bonuses or incentives for referring a client to a lien-based provider.
- Prohibits attorneys from charging an additional contingency fee, administrative fee, management fee, or similar fee based on reducing or resolving a client's medical lien.

Additionally, SB 623 makes several reforms to the TNC/rideshare industry in order to protect passengers and create greater accountability:

- Requires an initial background check before activating a rideshare driver and annual backgroundchecks thereafter.
- Adds additional crimes, like violating a restraining order and child abuse, to the list of crimes that disqualifies a potential TNC driver.

- Expressly allows women drivers to request women passengers only and women passengers to request women drivers only.

SB 623 is sponsored by the Consumer Attorneys of California and Uber Technologies, Inc.. The Committee is unaware of opposition to this bill. However, since the deal was recently amended into SB 623, there may be opposition to this bill that the Committee is not yet aware of. This bill is scheduled to be heard in the Assembly Judiciary Committee on June 23, 2026, at 1:30 PM. The Senate Judiciary Committee is scheduled to hold an informational hearing titled, *S.B. No. 623 Umberg & Papan. Automobile accidents: civil actions: transportation network companies*, after our regularly scheduled bill hearing on June 23, 2026.

**FISCAL EFFECT:** Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: Yes

**SUPPORT:** (Verified 6/24/26)

Consumer Attorneys of California (co-source)  
Uber Industries, Inc. (co-source)

**OPPOSITION:** (Verified 6/24/26)

None received

**ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:** Joint sponsors of the bill, the Consumer Attorneys of California and Uber Technologies, Inc., write the following in support of SB 623:

“Both sides agree: Californians deserve a system that is safe, fair, and accountable. This agreement protects patients from unnecessary treatment or getting overcharged, ensures access to medical care and legal representation, and strengthens safety measures. We look forward to working with the California Legislature to pass this legislation.”

With regard to medical lien reform in SB 623, the sponsors write:

“Currently, lien-based treatment can result in medical bills significantly higher than typical charges, often driven by third-party financiers who purchase discounted bills to recover full amounts through litigation. SB 623 protects injured individuals by capping recoverable lien amounts at what the financier

actually paid and anchoring recovery to the FAIR Health benchmark—a nationally trusted, objective database of amounts charged for medical care. This reform helps limit financial abuses and returns value to the individuals who actually suffered harm.”

With regard to patient protections and transparency, the sponsors write:

“SB 623 ensures that no claimant, defendant, or insurer can be held liable for charges exceeding the FAIR Health benchmark, protecting patients from personal liability for inflated debts. Furthermore, the bill mandates standardized, itemized billing using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) and Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes, bringing the medical lien process in line with the rest of the healthcare industry. By requiring the disclosure of all lien transfers and sales within 30 days, SB 623 brings necessary sunlight to previously hidden financial arrangements.”

With regard to ethics and safety enhancements, the sponsors write:

“This legislation closes structural gaps by prohibiting unethical practices, including attorney ownership in lien-based providers and referral kickbacks. Additionally, SB 623 advances public safety by providing clear legal protection for women’s rider and driver preferences and expanding driver background checks with annual re-screening.”

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 75-0 6/25/26

AYES: Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Alanis, Alvarez, Arambula, Ávila Farías, Bains, Bauer-Kahan, Bennett, Berman, Boerner, Bonta, Bryan, Calderon, Caloza, Carrillo, Castillo, Chen, Connolly, Davies, DeMaio, Dixon, Elhawary, Ellis, Flora, Fong, Gabriel, Garcia, Gipson, Jeff Gonzalez, Mark González, Hadwick, Haney, Harabedian, Hart, Hoover, Irwin, Jackson, Kalra, Krell, Lackey, Lee, Lowenthal, Macedo, McKinnor, Muratsuchi, Nguyen, Ortega, Pacheco, Papan, Patel, Patterson, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Ramos, Ransom, Michelle Rodriguez, Rogers, Blanca Rubio, Sanchez, Schiavo, Schultz, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Stefani, Ta, Tangipa, Valencia, Wallis, Ward, Wicks, Wilson, Zbur, Rivas

NO VOTE RECORDED: Johnson, Quirk-Silva, Celeste Rodriguez, Soria

Prepared by: Margie Estrada / JUD. / (916) 651-4113  
7/7/26 14:42:02

\*\*\*\* END \*\*\*\*