SENATE RULES COMMITTEE

Office of Senate Floor Analyses

(916) 651-1520 Fax: (916) 327-4478

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Bill No: SB 614

Author: Stern (D), et al.

Amended: 9/5/25 Vote: 21

SENATE GOVERNMENTAL ORG. COMMITTEE: 11-2, 4/22/25

AYES: Padilla, Valladares, Archuleta, Ashby, Blakespear, Cervantes, Richardson,

Rubio, Smallwood-Cuevas, Wahab, Weber Pierson

NOES: Dahle, Ochoa Bogh

NO VOTE RECORDED: Hurtado, Jones

SENATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMITTEE: 7-0, 4/30/25 AYES: Blakespear, Valladares, Dahle, Gonzalez, Hurtado, Padilla, Pérez NO VOTE RECORDED: Menjivar

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: 6-0, 5/23/25

AYES: Caballero, Seyarto, Cabaldon, Grayson, Richardson, Wahab

NO VOTE RECORDED: Dahle

SENATE FLOOR: 38-0, 6/4/25

AYES: Allen, Alvarado-Gil, Archuleta, Arreguín, Ashby, Becker, Blakespear, Cabaldon, Caballero, Cervantes, Choi, Cortese, Dahle, Durazo, Gonzalez, Grayson, Grove, Hurtado, Jones, Laird, Limón, McGuire, McNerney, Niello, Ochoa Bogh, Padilla, Pérez, Richardson, Rubio, Seyarto, Smallwood-Cuevas, Stern, Strickland, Umberg, Valladares, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener NO VOTE RECORDED: Menjivar, Reyes

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 58-0, 9/12/25 – Roll call vote not available.

SUBJECT: Public resources: transportation of carbon dioxide

SOURCE: Author

DIGEST: This bill requires the State Fire Marshall (SFM) to adopt regulations to regulate the transportation of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in a pipeline, including certain specified safety standards that, at a minimum, are as protective as the draft regulations proposed by the federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA).

Assembly Amendments of 9/5/25 rewrote the contents of this bill to align with the provisions of AB 881 (Petrie-Norris).

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Provides, under the Elder Act, that the SFM exercises safety regulatory jurisdiction over intrastate pipelines used for the transportation of hazardous or highly volatile liquid substances. The Elder Act imposes various requirements in relation to the regulation of these intrastate pipelines. A person who willfully and knowingly violates the Act or a regulation adopted pursuant to the Elder Act is, upon conviction, subject to a fine, imprisonment, or both a fine and imprisonment, as provided.
- 2) Defines "pipeline" for the purposes of the Elder Act, as every intrastate pipeline used for the transportation of hazardous liquid substances or highly volatile liquid substance; and does not include an interstate pipeline subject to federal regulations, a pipeline that transports hazardous substances in a gaseous state, and other specified exclusions. (Government Code § 51010.5)
- 3) Requires the SFM to adopt hazardous liquid pipeline safety regulations in compliance with the federal law relating to hazardous liquid pipeline safety, including, but not limited to, compliance orders, penalties, and inspections and maintenance provisions. (Government Code § 51011)
- 4) Prohibits the use of pipelines to transport CO₂ to or from CO₂ capture, removal, or sequestration projects until the federal PHMSA has concluded a specified rulemaking regarding minimum federal safety standards for transportation of CO₂ by pipeline and the project operator demonstrates that the pipelines meet those standards.
- 5) Governs, under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), the procedure for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of regulations by state agencies and for the review of those regulatory actions by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL).

The APA defines a major regulation as any proposed regulation that the agency estimates will have an economic impact on California businesses and individuals of \$50 million or more in any 12-month period. If an agency estimates that the proposed regulation is a "major regulation," the agency is required to prepare a Standardized Regulatory Impact Analysis reviewed by the Department of Finance before the regulation can be adopted.

- 6) Grants, pursuant to federal law, the United States Secretary of Transportation the regulatory and enforcement authority over gas and hazardous liquid pipelines, including CO₂ pipelines. (49 United States Code § 60102)
- 7) Prohibits, pursuant to federal law, the United States Secretary of Transportation from prescribing or enforcing safety standards and practices for an intrastate pipeline or intrastate pipeline facility to the extent that the safety standards and practices are regulated by a state authority, except at provided. (49 United States Code § 60105)
- 8) Requires, through the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of an environmental impact report (EIR) on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect.

This bill:

- 1) Requires, on or before July 1, 2026, the SFM to develop regulations to regulate the transportation of CO₂ in a pipeline that are, at a minimum, as protectives as standards proposed by the draft federal regulations set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking publicly issued by the federal Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Administration on January 10, 2025, pursuant to rulemaking (RIN 2137-AF60) regarding the minimum federal safety standards for transportation of carbon dioxide by pipeline (Parts 190 to 1999, inclusive, of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations).
- 2) Provides that regulations developed pursuant to this bill, or any amendment to those regulations, shall be adopted in accordance with the emergency rulemaking process in the APA.

- 3) Provides that regulation adopted pursuant to this bill shall not be considered major regulations under the APA.
- 4) Prohibits an operator from constructing a pipeline transporting CO₂ in a location where one or more sensitive receptors, as defined, are located within the emergency planning zone of the pipeline, which is defined as an area within two miles of either side of the pipeline, except as provided.
- 5) Provides that the SFM shall not be considered a lead agency for a project that includes the construction of a pipeline to transport CO₂ for purposes of CEQA.
- 6) Requires an operator to submit to the SFM and the public agency that is the lead agency an emergency planning zone inventory and map, as provided, and would require the SFM to review, at least one every three years, the inventory and map for completeness and accuracy.
- 7) Requires the operator to provide, at least every three years, a copy of the inventory and a map determined by the SFM to be complete and accurate and any updates to the inventory and map to local governments providing emergency response services to sensitive receptors within the emergency planning zone that encompasses the pipeline. The SFM is required, at least once every three years, the inventory and map for completeness and accuracy and shall notify the operator of any discrepancy.
- 8) Prohibits a pipeline from being approved to transport CO₂ if the pipeline is originally constructed to transport any other liquid or gas and prohibits the construction of those pipelines using previously used pipe or components.
- 9) Authorizes the SFM, for a pipeline transporting CO₂, to order a pipeline shutdown for violations of the provisions of this bill or if continued pipeline operations present an immediate danger to health, welfare, or the environment.
- 10) Requires, in the event of a pipeline rupture, the pipeline to remain nonoperational until an investigation into the pipeline rupture is completed and the origin and cause of the pipeline rupture is determined.
- 11) Lifts the moratorium on intrastate pipelines used for CO₂ transport for CO₂ capture, removals, or sequestration projects only after the SFM has adopted regulations pursuant to this bill and the pipeline operator demonstrates that the pipeline meets the standards and regulations adopted by the SFM.

- 12) Requires, for a project that includes the construction of a pipeline transporting CO₂ subject to requirements in CEQA, the lead agency to prepare an EIR, or equivalent documentation, as defined, and to certify or adopt those documents for the project.
- 13) Requires the lead agency, at least 30 days before the certification of the EIR to notify the SFM of the project.

Background

Author Statement. According to the author's office, "recognizing its importance – billions of dollars are being invested in carbon capture by industry, the private sector, and governments. In 2022, the Department of Energy (DoE) committed \$3.7 billion to finance projects to remove planet-warming carbon from the atmosphere to meet the nation's goal of net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. On January 10, 2025, the Biden Administration released draft federal regulations that would have lifted the SB 905 moratorium. Unfortunately, there was not enough time to formalize these regulations by adding them to the federal registry. Under the current administration, federal pipeline safety regulations will - at best – be delayed, or - at worst - non-existent and dangerous. California must act to establish robust pipeline safety regulations. By picking up where the Biden Administration left off, we can accelerate the safe deployment of carbon pipelines in California, leverage billions of dollars in federal support to meet our climate goals, and create thousands of high-road green jobs."

Carbon Capture and Storage. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) and carbon removal is a process of separating CO₂ from a point source and putting it into long-term storage, usually by injecting CO₂ into a geological reservoir. This process is generally considered by experts to be a CO₂ reduction strategy, not a CO₂ removal strategy, since it is only reducing CO₂ from anthropogenic sources that would have otherwise entered the atmosphere, rather than removing what was already there. As of January 2025, there are approximately 13 of these projects in various stages of development in California, especially in the central valley.

Transportation is a key component of CCS and carbon removal projects because the location at which CO₂ is captured may be some distance from the point at which it will be geologically sequestered. Transportation can occur by marine tankers, trucks, rail, or pipelines. Experts generally agree that the most efficient way to transport CO₂, as well as the safest way for the volume of CO₂ they move is

through pipelines. In many ways, pipelines are also the most cost-effective way to move CO₂.

 CO_2 can be transported as a gas, liquid, or supercritical form. At standard temperature and pressure, CO_2 behaves as a gas, when it is cooled and highly compressed, CO_2 becomes a liquid. When both the temperature and pressure are increased above the standard temperature and pressure, CO_2 exists in a supercritical state, in this state CO_2 has some properties of a gas and some properties of liquid.

Transportation of CO₂ by Pipelines. The federal PHMSA develops and enforces the transportation of hazardous materials via pipelines to ensure the same, reliable and environmentally sound operation of the nation's pipeline transportation system. The PHMSA maintains regulatory jurisdiction over interstate pipelines, which encompasses pipelines that travel between state and in federal waters. In the Areas of CCS and carbon removal projects, PHMSA has no regulatory jurisdiction over interstate or intrastate pipelines transporting CO₂ as a liquid. Federal statute provides PHMSA with regulatory authority over interstate and intrastate pipelines transporting CO₂ as a gas or supercritical state. While PHMSA has released proposed regulations at the end of the Biden Administration, those regulations have been "withdrawn" by the Trump Administration.

In California, the SFM maintains regulatory jurisdiction over hazardous liquid intrastate pipelines. The SFM regulates the safety of nearly 6,000 miles of intrastate hazardous liquid transportation pipelines through a PHMSA certified compliance and enforcement program. With this certification, the SFM may adopt additional safety standards for intrastate pipeline facilities provided such standards are compatible with federal regulation. Those safety standards may be more restrictive or stringent than federal regulation. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), as part of their jurisdiction, ensures that intrastate natural gas and liquid petroleum gas pipeline systems are designed, constructed, operated, and maintained according to safety standards set by the CPUC and the PHMSA. CPUC's existing authority does not extend to intrastate CO₂ gas pipelines.

There is currently, no intrastate CO₂ pipeline in California. When such pipelines are developed, the SFM will exercise jurisdiction over intrastate CO₂ pipelines through the existing federal pipeline safety state program agreement. This agreement delegate's authority for the SMF to regulate intrastate pipelines that carry CO₂ compressed to a supercritical state and composed of at least 90% CO₂. Pipelines transporting less than 90% CO₂, including CO₂ in a liquid or gas form, would likely fall outside the scope of existing regulations, leaving regulation of

pipelines transporting CO₂ composed of less than 90% CO₂ or in a liquid gas form to local jurisdictions and those states who choose to adopt regulation.

At this time, significant regulatory uncertainty exists regarding the design, operation, siting, and maintenance of intrastate CO₂ pipelines, regardless of the state that CO₂ is transported. The SFM is currently limited to applying only federal safety standards to CO₂ pipelines; transport of supercritical CO₂ that is composed of at least 90% CO₂. Establishing separate standards in California that are in addition to federal regulation is only possible if changes are made in state law.

Draft of Federal Regulations. On January 10, 2025, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking under RIN 2138-AF60, aiming to enhance the minimum federal safety standards for the transportation of CO₂ by pipeline, as outlined in parts 190 to 199 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Ten days later, according to various reports, a federal pipeline safety official not authorized to speak publicly said the proposed rules were "withdrawn" in accordance with a January 20, 2025, executive order by President Trump that freezes all pending regulations and initiatives pending a review process by newly appointed agency leaders. Federal CO₂ transport through pipelines rules were put further in doubt with a February 19 executive order aimed at rooting out all regulations that are costly to "private parties" and impede economic development.

The previously proposed federal regulations sought to address the anticipated expansion of CO₂ pipeline infrastructure driven by increased CCS initiatives. According to the U.S. Department of Energy, the current network of approximately 5,000 miles of CO₂ pipelines is projected to expand significantly to support these initiatives. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking introduced several key provisions including:

- 1) Comprehensive Safety Requirements. For the first time, PHMSA proposed specific regulations for pipelines transporting CO₂ in gaseous and liquid states, complementing existing standards for supercritical CO₂ pipelines. These proposed regulations would have encompass design, installation, operation, maintenance, and reporting protocols.
- 2) Conversion Standards. Operators seeking to repurpose existing pipelines for CO₂ transport would have been required to adhere to stringent guidelines, including conducting spike hydrostatic pressure tests and performing in-line inspections within specified timeframes to ensure structural integrity.

- 3) *Emergency Preparedness*. The proposal would have mandated enhanced training for emergency responders, provision of CO₂ detection equipment, and improved public communication strategies during emergencies to bolster safety measures.
- 4) *Vapor Dispersion Analysis*. Operators would have been required to perform detailed analyses to predict the spread of CO₂ in the event of a pipeline failure, thereby aiding in risk assessment and mitigation planning.

SB 905 (Caballero, Chapter 359, Statutes of 2022). In 2022, SB 905 was signed by Governor Newsom. Among other things, the bill prohibits the use of intrastate pipelines to transport CO₂ until the PHMSA completes its rulemaking process. SB 905 required the California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA) to "provide a proposal to the Legislature to establish a state framework and standards for the design, operation, siting, and maintenance of intrastate pipelines carrying CO₂ fluids of varying composition and phase to minimize the risk posed to public and environmental health and safety."

The proposal was released in March 2023, and included various recommendations "aimed at informing additional legislation that would be necessary to create a robust regulatory framework governing CO₂ pipelines so as to protect public, health, safety, and the environment. These recommendations are made with the recognition that CCS and carbon removal projects, and CO₂ transport, represent a new set of technologies and infrastructure and accordingly, poses, new risks and potential adverse impact to human health, safety, and the environment should a pipeline failure occur."

One of the recommendations included providing the SFM with clear regulatory authority over pipeline transportation of CO₂ in liquid, gas, and supercritical state to protect public safety. As part of this recommendation the CNRA stated that "clear authority to draft safety-related regulations governing intrastate CO₂ pipelines will avoid ambiguity about which state agency is charged with regulating liquid, gas, and supercritical CO₂ pipelines. It will also ensure a well-defined and understood regulatory process that includes robust public process and allows time to incorporate emerging information from new research and development studies. Additionally the CNRA recommended the SFM with clear administrative and enforcement authority to order intrastate CO₂ pipeline shutdown immediately when safety regulations are violated."

Related/Prior Legislation

AB 881 (Petrie-Norris, 2025) adds CO₂ to the substances included in the Elder Act and requires the SFM to adopt regulations governing the safe transportation of CO₂ by April 1, 2026, as specified, and lifts the statewide moratorium on pipelines transporting CO₂ to or from a carbon capture, removal, or sequestration project. (Pending in the Assembly Appropriations Committee)

AB 2623 (Arambula, 2024) would have expanded the regulation of intrastate pipelines under the Act to intrastate pipelines used for the transportation of CO₂. Additionally, the bill would have required the SFM to adopt safety-related regulations governing intrastate pipelines transporting CO₂, as provided. (Never heard in the Assembly Utilities and Energy Committee)

SB 905 (Caballero, Chapter 359, Statutes of 2022) requires the California Air Resources Board to establish a Carbon Capture, Removal, Utilization, and Storage Program and adopt regulations for a model unified permit program for the construction and operation of CCRUS projects. In addition, the bill prohibits the use of intrastate pipelines to transport of CO₂ until the PHMSA completes its rulemaking. Furthermore, the bill requires the California Natural Resources Agency to "provide a proposal to the Legislature to establish a state framework and standards for the design, operation, siting, and maintenance of intrastate pipelines carrying CO₂ fluids of varying composition and phase to minimize the risk posed to public and environmental health and safety.

AB 1676 (Luz Rivas, 2022) would have added CO₂, compressed to a supercritical state, to the substances included in the Elder California Pipeline Safety Act, giving the SFM exclusive jurisdiction to regulate intrastate pipeline transportation of CO₂ under the existing provisions of the Elder Act, which currently applies to petroleum and other hazardous liquids. (Never Heard in the Assembly Natural Resources Committee)

SB 295 (Jackson, Chapter 607, statutes of 2015) requires the SFM, to annually inspect all intrastate pipelines and operators of intrastate pipelines under the jurisdiction of the SFM and requires the SFM to adopt regulations implementing these provisions.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: Yes

According to the Assembly Appropriations Committee, "This bill creates significant new administrative, analytical and regulatory work for the Fire Marshal. Presumably, the Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), in which

the Fire Marshal is located, will require additional resources to undertake this work.

When this committee considered AB 881 (Petrie Norris) this spring, CAL FIRE reported it is already working on carbon dioxide pipeline regulation pursuant to authority provided by existing law, so any costs to the Fire Marshal to implement AB 881 should be minor and absorbable.

The committed asked CAL FIRE about costs to implement this bill, and further asked CAL FIRE, if it asserted it could do so with existing resources, to explain, in detail, why it would not require additional resources to undertake the significant workload created by this bill. CAL FIRE did not provide an explanation by the time this analysis was prepared.

It is reasonable to assume, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, this bill entails significant new one-time costs for CAL FIRE, in the low hundreds of thousands of dollars, at least (General Fund)."

SUPPORT: (Verified 9/4/25)

Bloom Energy California State Pipe Trades Council Coalition for Sustainable

OPPOSITION: (Verified 9/4/25)

Asian Pacific Environmental Network

Biofuelwatch

California Environmental Justice Alliance

CA Youth vs. Big Oil

Center for Biological Diversity

Center on Race, Poverty, and Environment

Central California Environmental Justice Network

Climate Equity Policy Center

Climate Hawks Vote

Climate Health Now Action Fund

Climate Reality San Francisco Bay Area Chapter

Consumer Wathdog

El Pueblo Para el Aire y Agua Limpia de Kettleman City

Elder Climate Action, NorCal Chapter

Elders Climate Action

Extinction Rebellion San Francisco Bay Area

Food & Water Watch

Fossil Free California

Good Neighbor Steering Committee

Greenpeace USA

Interfaith Climate Action Network of Contra Costa County

Labor Rise Climate Jobs Action Group

Leadership Counsel Action

Little Manila Rising

Oil and Gas Action Network

Oil Change International

Physicians for Social Responsibility – Los Angeles

Physicians for Social Responsibility - San Francisco Bay

Planning and Conservation League

Progressive Democrats of Benicia

Protect Monterey County

San Diego 350

San Francisco Bay Area Physicians for Social Responsibility

San Francisco Baykeeper

Santa Cruz Climate Action Network

Sierra Club

Social Eco Education

Solano County Democratic Central Committee

Sunflower Alliance

UNIDOS Network Inc.

West Berkeley Alliance for Clean Air and Safe Jobs

350 Bay Area Action

350 Contra Costa Action

350 Humboldt County

350 Santa Barbara

1000 Grandmothers for Future Generations

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: According to the California State Pipe Trades Council, "SB 614 directs the [SFM] to develop robust, safety-related regulations for CO₂ pipelines, ensuring that they are constructed, maintained, and operated in a manner that minimizes risks to public health and the environment. These regulations will be based on PHMSA's extensive regulatory update that was initiated but not finalized under the Bided Administration, ensuring that California upholds the highest safety standards."

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: According to opponents of the bill, "[both] the federal government and California need to fill the dangerous gaps for CO₂

pipelines before CCS projects are allowed to proceed. Our groups fully support California regulating above and beyond what PHSMA sets as the federal floor. But ending California's existing partial moratorium before PHSMA's regulations are complete risks preemption and prevents the state and its residents from benefitting from the nationwide attention and expert input that the federal rulemaking will generate. Ending the moratorium prematurely will also accelerate the poor investment and false climate solution that is CSS. In short, there is no benefit to California jumping out early and changing the precautionary measure that is already in place."

According to the Central California Environmental Justice Network, "SB 614's attempt to allow pipelines to be operated before official state regulations are adopted is confusing and raises many concerns on enforceability. Allowing pipelines to be built before the SFM adopts more strict regulations would be a perverse incentive to the industry to drag out and delay state regulation development and quickly build pipelines before more stringent regulations are in place. It would also be more efficient for the SFM to go through the regulatory process once. In order to ensure that all CO₂ pipelines in California follow strong, enforceable safety standards, we ask that SB 614 be amended to clearly require the SFM to adopt regulations before CO₂ pipelines can be operated."

Click here to enter text.

Prepared by: Brian Duke / G.O. / (916) 651-1530, Felipe Lopez / G.O. / (916) 651-1530 9/12/25 14:52:42

**** END ****