

SENATE THIRD READING

SB 546 (Grayson)

As Amended June 11, 2026

Majority vote

SUMMARY

This bill proposes to exempt third-party intermediaries engaged in certain administrative acts of billing from the Debt Collection Licensing Act (DCLA).

Major Provisions

- 1) Creates an exemption the Debt Collection Licensing Act for a "billing agent" which is described as one who calculates, allocates and delivers consolidated statements for rent utility services, ancillary property services, amenities, or contractually authorized administrative billing fees arising from a residential or commercial tenancy, provided that the billing agent does not purchase, take assignment of, or independently enforce the underlying obligation.
- 2) Specifies acts that disqualify a billing agent from the proposed exemption.

COMMENTS*1) Background**a) What is consumer debt*

In California, consumer debt is based on a credit transaction for the purposes of personal, family or household use. It has been well established that "on credit" in Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act's (RFDCPA) definition of a "consumer credit transaction" indicates obtaining something of value without immediate payment on the promise to make a payment or payments in the future, not payment method.¹ Thus, rental payments, which are made at the beginning of the term of occupancy, are not subject to the RFDCPA because it is not paid on credit. However, utilities, which are paid for after usage, are considered "on credit" and therefore subject to the RFDCPA.

In the same vein, federal financial regulators removed certain exemptions for public utilities from rules implementing the Equal Credit Opportunity Act in 2003 and generally describe utility bills as a type of credit in some educational materials today² because billing after the fact for products and services already delivered can constitute credit.

b) Who is a debt collector

In California, a debt collector is defined as any person who: 1) in the ordinary course of business, regularly, 2) on the person's own behalf or on behalf of others, 3) engages in debt collection. The term includes any person who composes and sells, or offers to compose and sell, forms, letters

¹ Davidson v. Seterus, Inc. (2018) 21 Cal.App.5th 283, 296

² <https://consumer.ftc.gov/articles/getting-utility-services-why-your-credit-matters> Last accessed 6/20/2026

and other collection media used or intended to be used for debt collection.³ This means in addition to third party debt collectors, original creditors, and those they engage on their behalf, are considered debt collectors if debt collection is an ordinary part of their business.

c) Debt Collector Licensing Act (DCLA)

SB 908 (Wieckowski) Chapter 163, Statutes of 2020, established the DCLA, which became effective January 1, 2022. According to the bill's author, the original intent of the DCLA was the following:

"Americans held more than \$13 trillion in debt even before the COVID 19 outbreak and wages were not keeping up with the cost of living. As unemployment soars, families will be forced to make agonizing decisions which will undoubtedly include burdening themselves with more debt, SB 908 is needed to ensure existing debt collection law is followed because without it, bad actors will seize the opportunity to prey on desperate and vulnerable Californians."⁴

Immediately following enactment, the DFPI has issued invitations for comment on proposed rulemaking and continues to do so in defining the scope of licensees. In the first round related to scope in 2022, PG&E submitted a comment⁵ proposing to exclude utilities from the definition of "Consumer Credit Transaction" but made no further comment during the two subsequent rounds. Throughout the rulemaking, one amendment to scope that has been offered and modified over the last four years is the following, (in its most recent form):

"A person collecting debt arising from a consumer credit transaction that meets the following requirements is not required to be licensed under the Debt Collection Licensing Act:...

(e) A person solely servicing debts that are less than 90 days past due and have not been charged off is not required to be licensed under the Debt Collection Licensing Act.

(1) For purposes of this subdivision and subdivision (d), a debt is 90 days past due when at least one payment has not been fully paid within 90 days of the date that the payment was originally scheduled to be paid on the debt.

(2) A person is not exempt under this subdivision if the person engages in any of the following practices during the first 90 days after a debt has become past due:

(A) Reports negative payment performance to a credit reporting agency;

(B) Seizes or impair use of collateral; or

(C) contacts debtor's friends, family, or employer because of their relationship with the debtor."⁶

³ Fin.Code Section 100002(j) and California Civil Code (Civ.Code) 1788.2(c)

⁴ See analysis of Assembly Banking and Finance on SB 908, as heard on August 12, 2020.

⁵ <https://dfpi.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/337/2022/10/PRO-05-21-Pacific-Gas-and-Electric-Company.pdf> Last visited 6/20/2026

⁶ PRO 5/21 Third Draft Text. <https://dfpi.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/PRO-05-21-TEXT-DCLA-3rd-Prefiling.pdf> Last visited 6/20/2026.

Comment for this proposed rulemaking ended on December 12, 2025, to date, a final rule has not been released. Eight letters were received, with only four letters touching on this issue.⁷ None of these letters provided support for the language; two letters⁸ opined on the need for clarification, one letter⁹ disagreed with the premise for exemption, and the last¹⁰ pointed out that any servicers acting in its own name for an original creditor should be treated like a debt collector pursuant to the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. No comment was received from any licensee to whom this bill would apply, including those currently licensed under the DCLA.

2) *Debt collection is a prevalent source of consumer complaints*

- a) Debt collection practices consistently remain a top complaint from consumers. In the year 2025 alone, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) received 387,400 debt collection complaints.¹¹ This is an 86% increase from 207,800 in only the year prior.¹² Complaints about debts consumers did not recognize (*I do not know*) increased 240%, while complaints about "Other debt" increased 111%, compared to the monthly average for the prior two years. Consumers also identify the issue that best describes the problem they experienced was *Attempts to collect debt not owed*. The report noted that it has been the predominant issue selected by consumers since the CFPB began accepting debt collection complaints in 2013.
- b) Studies suggest that 20% of collections items in nationwide consumer reporting agencies' files come from utility and telecom debts, making them collectively the second-largest category behind medical debts.¹³ As many as 90% of adults with annual household incomes of at least \$25,000 have at least one utility or telecom account in their name.¹⁴
- c) Unlawful collection practices compromise the economic stability of consumers in various ways. Primarily, individuals misled into paying an amount not owed suffer immediate financial loss, which impacts their ability to purchase basic living necessities. Inaccurate reporting by these entities can severely damage credit profiles. Beyond fiscal impacts, the

⁷<https://dfpi.ca.gov/rules-enforcement/laws-and-regulations/laws-and-regulations-debt-collection-licensing-act/comments-on-pro-05-21-debt-collection-regulations-scope-annual-reports-and-bond-third-draft/> Last visited 6/20/2026

⁸ See AFSA, American Financial Services Association letter dated 12/12/2025 https://dfpi.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/PRO-05-21-American-Financial-Services-Association_Redacted.pdf and See Law Office of Paul Soter letter dated 12/12/2025 <https://dfpi.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/PRO-05-21-Law-Offices-of-Paul-Soter.pdf>.

⁹ See CAC, California Association of Collectors letter dated 12/16/2025. <https://dfpi.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/PRO-05-21-California-Association-of-Collectors-Inc.pdf>

¹⁰ See CFC, Consumer Federation of California letter dated 12/12/2025. <https://dfpi.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/PRO-05-21-Consumer-Federation-of-California.pdf>

¹¹ "Consumer Response Annual Report 2025", CFPB, pg. 20
https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_2025-cr-annual-report_2026-03.pdf

¹² "Consumer Response Annual Report 2024", CFPB, pg. 24
https://files.consumerfinance.gov/f/documents/cfpb_cr-annual-report_2025-05.pdf

¹³ Thompson Cochran, Kelly, et al. "Utility, Telecommunications, and Rental Data in Underwriting Credit", The Urban Institute and FinReg Lab (December 2021) pg. 10.
https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/105282/utility-telecommunications-and-rental-data-in-underwriting-credit_1.pdf

¹⁴ *Id.* at 12.

psychological burden of aggressive collection efforts often results in significant mental or physical health distress.¹⁵

3) *What this bill does*

This bill proposes to exempt "billing agents" for rent and utilities services from the DCLA reasoning that these services are merely administrative passthrough services on behalf of utilities companies. The bill does not define the term "billing agent" but describes the services traditionally associated with a servicer (also referenced in the DCLA proposed rulemaking in Comment #2). Proponents of the bill argue that billing agents are described as merely conducting administrative activities similar to a property management company, homeowners' association management company, or parking management company. However, unlike these examples, for which billing is ancillary to the primary duties of the service, the bill describes "billing agent" as a third party that primarily "calculates, allocates, and delivers consolidated statements" which is squarely in the definition of "debt collector".¹⁶

The bill also provides a list of eight activities commonly associated with debt collection that would preclude a billing agent from qualifying for an exemption under this bill. These common activities are part of, but not an inclusive list of debt collector responsibilities under the RFDPCA.

The legal effect of this bill is the exemption from licensing requirements with the DFPI. Currently, there are exemptions for depository institutions such as Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)-insured banks, credit unions, Department of Financial Protection and Innovation (DFPI)-licensed finance lenders and brokers, DFPI-licensed mortgage lenders and servicers, Department of Real Estate licensed agents, persons subject to the Kernet Rental-Purchase Act, a trustee for a nonjudicial foreclosure, and debt collections regulated under the Student Loan Servicing Act. However, all of these exempted entities are licensed or have registration requirements under a dedicated code section with DFPI unlawful, unfair, deceptive, or abusive acts or practices (UDAAP) enforcement authority.¹⁷ Billing agents, whose activities do not appear to fall under the "covered persons" definition under the California Consumer Financial Protection Law (CCFPL), will be the first licensee with no other licensing or registration requirement to receive an exemption to the DCLA leaving open the question of DFPI UDAAP enforcement authority, annual licensing fees, rights to assessments, reporting requirements, and background checks of these exempted licensees.¹⁸

¹⁵ "The Impacts of Individual and Household Debt on Health and Well-Being" American Public Health Association, (October 25, 2021) Last accessed 6/20/2026 <https://www.apha.org/policy-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-briefs/policy-database/2022/01/07/the-impacts-of-individual-and-household-debt-on-health-and-well-being>

¹⁶ Current law defines "debt collector" to mean any person who, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on the person's own behalf or on behalf of others, engages in debt collection. The term includes any person who composes and sells, or offers to compose and sell, forms, letters and other collection media used or intended to be used for debt collection. Fin.Code Section 100002(j) and California Civil Code (Civ.Code) 1788.2(c).

¹⁷ See SB 825 (Limon, 2025).

¹⁸ The construction of this bill suggests that "billing agents" are licensees because one cannot be exempt from a law to which it was never subject. Upon examination of the DCLA and the Nationwide Multistate Licensing System (NMLS), the sponsor of this bill, Conservice is currently licensed under the DCLA and in 8 other states with similar applicable requirements as a debt collector.

4) *RFDCPA Rights*

This bill does not absolve any responsibilities by any actors that may be subject to the RFDCPA regardless of DCLA scope. While definitions from the DCLA are based off the definitions found in the RFDCPA, the code sections are independent. This allows consumers who may be harmed by an exempted billing agent to pursue an RFDCPA claim in a civil action. The issue of private versus public enforcement was well-contemplated in this Committee's analysis for SB 908 (2020):

"The state Rosenthal Act provides a private right of action for harmed consumers, but the available remedies may be insufficient to incentivize attorneys to take on cases. The Public Law Center (PLC) is a non-profit legal services organization that serves low-income clients in Orange County. Writing in support of the bill, PLC notes the following:

While California has had laws on the books requiring fair debt collection practices since 1977, our laws do little to stem the bad behavior they prohibit. This is because the law requires the consumer to sue the debt collection company. In other words, a consumer who has been harassed, threatened, misled, ripped off, or wrongfully accused of owing a debt, must seek to enforce the law herself.

Most consumers do not have the means to vindicate their rights under the law. Even for the few who could afford a lawyer, it isn't financially worth the time and cost it takes to bring a lawsuit against a collection agency who violated their consumer rights by collecting against the wrong person, attempting to collect on a debt already paid, inflating the amount of money owed, or misrepresenting why they were repeatedly calling. So, consumers never bother to sue or they give up."

5) *Other Considerations*

The DCLA is industry funded through fees and pro-rata assessments on the licensees it oversees. Consumers can still report complaints to the DFPI for licensed and unlicensed financial services providers. Creating a loophole for a licensee that is otherwise not subject to regulations may exacerbate cost pressures on the Department and the remaining licensees.

Language in the activities that prohibit a licensee from qualifying from the exemption contain the subjective terms "threatening" and "demand" which are unusual and may require further rulemaking.

A third-party billing company can create confusion for people who did not engage their services. Federal Trade Commission data show that consumers reported losing \$3.5 billion dollars in imposter scams in 2025 alone, with \$920 million to government impersonators.¹⁹ The DCLA requires licensees to provide their license number in every written and verbal communication, in part, to allow consumers to verify that the bill or collection letter is not a scam.

¹⁹<https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2026/06/ftc-data-show-people-reported-losing-3-point-5-billion-imposter-scams-2025> Last accessed 6/20/2026.

According to the Author

"SB 546 proposes an exemption to the Debt Collector Licensing Act. After additional review of this issue, I am not going to ask for this bill to be considered by the Assembly this year. I plan to put the bill on the inactive file."

Arguments in Support

"Third-party billing administrators work with rental housing providers across California to manage billing programs. Their responsibilities are administrative in nature: receiving utility consumption data, allocating charges consistent with lease terms and applicable law, preparing billing statements, and responding to resident billing questions on behalf of property owners. They do not collect debts or engage in debt collection practices.

The DCLA was enacted to regulate businesses whose primary purpose is collecting consumer debt through collection communications, threats of legal action, credit reporting, and other coercive collection practices. Third-party billing administrators do not perform those functions. Instead, they help property owners accurately and transparently allocate utility costs to residents. In many cases, California law requires property owners to separately bill residents for water usage, making these billing services an important part of compliance."—*California Apartment Association*.

Arguments in Opposition

None received. Last Checked 8/6/2026.

FISCAL COMMENTS

Minor and absorbable costs to the Department of Financial Protection and Innovation (DFPI), which oversees debt collectors and debt buyers under the DCLA and the California Consumer Financial Protection Law. A billing agent, as described by this bill, is not generally licensed as a debt collector.

VOTES**SENATE FLOOR: 39-0-1**

YES: Allen, Alvarado-Gil, Archuleta, Arreguín, Ashby, Becker, Blakespear, Cabaldon, Caballero, Cervantes, Choi, Cortese, Dahle, Durazo, Grayson, Grove, Hurtado, Jones, Laird, Limón, McGuire, McNerney, Menjivar, Niello, Ochoa Bogh, Padilla, Pérez, Reyes, Richardson, Rubio, Seyarto, Smallwood-Cuevas, Stern, Strickland, Umberg, Valladares, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener

ABS, ABST OR NV: Gonzalez

ASM BANKING AND FINANCE: 8-0-1

YES: Valencia, Chen, Dixon, Fong, Krell, Michelle Rodriguez, Blanca Rubio, Soria

ABS, ABST OR NV: Schiavo

ASM APPROPRIATIONS: 15-0-0

YES: Wicks, Hoover, Arambula, Calderon, Caloza, Dixon, Fong, Mark González, Krell, Pacheco, Pellerin, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Ta, Tangipa

UPDATED

VERSION: June 11, 2026

CONSULTANT: Desiree NguyenOrth / B. & F. / (916) 319-3081

FN: 0003384