
UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Bill No: SB 1023
Author: Laird (D), et al.
Amended: 8/18/26
Vote: 21

SENATE HEALTH COMMITTEE: 9-0, 4/8/26

AYES: Weber Pierson, Caballero, Durazo, Gonzalez, Menjivar, Padilla, Pérez, Rubio, Smallwood-Cuevas

NO VOTE RECORDED: Valladares, Grove

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: 5-0, 5/14/26

AYES: Cervantes, Cabaldon, Grayson, Richardson, Wahab

NO VOTE RECORDED: Seyarto, Dahle

SENATE FLOOR: 29-0, 5/18/26

AYES: Allen, Archuleta, Arreguín, Ashby, Becker, Blakespear, Cabaldon, Caballero, Cervantes, Cortese, Durazo, Gonzalez, Grayson, Hurtado, Laird, Limón, McGuire, McNerney, Menjivar, Pérez, Reyes, Richardson, Rubio, Smallwood-Cuevas, Stern, Umberg, Wahab, Weber Pierson, Wiener

NO VOTE RECORDED: Alvarado-Gil, Choi, Dahle, Grove, Jones, Niello, Ochoa Bogh, Padilla, Seyarto, Strickland, Valladares

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 62-3, 8/24/26 - See last page for vote

SUBJECT: Health care coverage: antiretroviral drugs, drug devices, and drug products

SOURCE: California Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara
APLA Health
California Legislative LGBTQ Caucus
Equality California
Los Angeles LGBT Center
San Francisco AIDS Foundation

DIGEST: This bill (1) adds to an existing health plan and insurer prohibition on prior authorization and step therapy for medically necessary HIV/AIDS antiretroviral drugs, drug devices and drug products. (2) Requires a health plan or insurer to cover a non-self-administered antiretroviral drug, drug device, or drug product approved by the federal Food and Drug Administration for the prevention of HIV/AIDS both under the medical benefit and outpatient prescription drug benefit.

Assembly Amendments delete a requirement that preexposure prophylaxis or postexposure prophylaxis provided by an out-of-network pharmacy are covered in the case of a medical emergency and make other clarifying changes.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Establishes the Department of Managed Health Care (DMHC) to regulate health plans under the Knox-Keene Health Care Services Plan Act of 1975; the California Department of Insurance (CDI) to regulate health and other insurers; and the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to administer the Medi-Cal program. [Health and Safety Code [HSC] §1340, et seq., Insurance Code [INS] §106, et seq., and Welfare and Institutions Code [WIC] §14000, et seq.]
- 2) Prohibits health plans and insurers from subjecting antiretroviral drugs that are medically necessary for the prevention of AIDS/HIV, including preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and postexposure prophylaxis (PEP, to prior authorization or step therapy, except that if the federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approves one or more therapeutic equivalents of a drug, device, or product for the prevention of AIDS/HIV, health plans and insurers are only required to cover at least one therapeutically equivalent version without prior authorization or step therapy. [Health and Safety Code (HSC) §1342.74(a) and Insurance Code (INS) §10123.1933(a)]
- 3) Prohibits health plans and insurers or their designated pharmacy benefit manager from prohibiting, a pharmacy provider from dispensing PrEP or PEP. [HSC §1342.74(b) and INS §10123.1933(b)]
- 4) Requires health plans and insurers to cover PrEP and PEP that has been furnished by a pharmacist, as authorized in the law, including the pharmacist's services and related testing ordered by the pharmacist. Requires plans and insurers to pay or reimburse, consistent with the law, the service performed by a

pharmacist at an in-network pharmacy or a pharmacist at an out-of-network pharmacy if the health plan has an out-of-network pharmacy benefit. [HSC §1342.74(c) and INS §10123.1933(c)]

- 5) Exempts health plans and insurers from covering PrEP and PEP furnished by a pharmacist at an out-of-network pharmacy, unless the health plan or insurer has an out-of-network pharmacy benefit. [HSC §1342.74(d) and INS §10123.1933(d)]
- 6) Exempts Medi-Cal managed care plans from 2) through 5) above to the extent that the services are excluded from coverage under the contract between the Medi-Cal managed care plans and DHCS. [HSC §1342.74(e)]
- 7) Establishes the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) to administer a variety of public health programs (HSC §131000, et Seq.)

This bill:

- 1) Adds drug devices, and drug products to an existing prohibition on plans and insurers from requiring prior authorization or step therapy under specified circumstances.
- 2) Requires a health plan contract or insurance policy issued, amended, or renewed on or after January 1, 2027, to cover a non-self-administered antiretroviral drug, drug device, or drug product that is approved by the FDA for the prevention of HIV/AIDS as a medical benefit and as an outpatient prescription drug benefit.
- 3) Exempts specialized health plan contracts or insurance policies that cover only dental, mental health, or vision benefits, and Medicare supplement contracts and policies.
- 4) Applies the law regardless of whether an antiretroviral drug, drug device, or drug product is self-administered.
- 5) Authorizes CDI to exercise the authority provided in law and the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) as specified, to implement and enforce this bill and the law it amends. Permits a hearing requested by an insurer when a penalty is assessed to be conducted by an administrative law judge or the administrative hearing bureau of CDI under specified procedures. States that

this does not impair or restrict the CDI Commissioner's authority pursuant to another provision of the law or the APA.

Comments

According to the author of this bill:

This bill increases access to HIV PrEP by requiring health plans that already cover the medication to offer reimbursement through their outpatient prescription drug benefit pathway. PrEP is a highly effective clinical strategy that uses antiretroviral medication to prevent HIV-negative individuals from acquiring the virus. Currently, plans may restrict reimbursement for PrEP to the medical benefit pathway. Medical benefit billing is often unsustainable for smaller healthcare providers due to administrative and financial barriers. This bill allows healthcare providers to secure timely reimbursement, increasing access to HIV PrEP for patients who receive care at small local clinics. Given the current volatility of federal grants, structural adjustments to the reimbursement process are necessary to ensure California's public health infrastructure supports HIV prevention efforts.

Related/Prior Legislation

AB 554 (Mark González of 2025) would have prohibited nongrandfathered (established by the ACA) health plans and insurance policies from imposing any cost-sharing for antiretroviral drugs, devices, or drug products that are approved by the federal FDA for PrEP, and would have applied this bill and the law it amends to an antiretroviral drug, drug device, or drug product regardless of whether it is self-administered. *AB 554 was vetoed by the Governor*, who wrote:

I wholeheartedly support efforts to ensure affordable and accessible prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS, and I share the author's desire to address politically motivated changes to long-standing preventive services requirements by the current hostile federal administration. This year's budget specifically codified the January 1, 2025, recommendations made by the USPSTF for no-cost share preventive services - ensuring the prior federal administration's guidelines are a matter of state law. As a result, CDPH now has the explicit authority to modify or supplement these baseline guidelines based on recommendations and guidance from medical and scientific organizations.

However, certain components of this measure raise concerns about affordability. By exceeding the cost-sharing provisions under the ACA, this

bill would result in increased costs to health plans, which would then be passed on to consumers. At a time when individuals are facing double-digit rate increases in their health care premiums across the nation, the state must weigh the potential benefits of all new mandates against the comprehensive costs to the entire health care delivery system.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: Yes

According to the Assembly Appropriations Committee:

- 1) General Fund costs to the California Public Employees Retirement System of an unknown amount, likely exceeding \$150,000 per year, by increasing access to antiretroviral treatment.
- 2) DMHC and CDI estimate minor and absorbable costs.

SUPPORT: (Verified 8/20/26)

California Insurance Commissioner Ricardo Lara (co-source)

APLA Health (co-source)

California Legislative LGBTQ Caucus (co-source)

Equality California (co-source)

Los Angeles LGBT Center (co-source)

San Francisco AIDS Foundation (co-source)

Access Reproductive Justice

Access Support Network

AIDS Healthcare Foundation

Bienestar Human Services

Biocom

Beyond AIDS Foundation

California Federation of Teachers, AFT, AFL-CIO

California LGBTQ+ Health and Human Services Network

California Life Sciences

California Medical Association

California Pharmacists Association

Casita Feliz Latine LGBTQ+ Center

City of West Hollywood

County Health Executives Association of California

County of Los Angeles

Courage California

Drug Policy Alliance

El/La Para Translatinas
 Essential Access Health
 Gender Affirming Professionals
 Gender Alchemy
 GLIDE
 Health Access California
 HealthRIGHT 360
 LGBTQ+ Inclusivity, Visibility, and Empowerment
 Lyon-Martin Community Health Services
 National Health Law Program
 Planned Parenthood Affiliates of California
 Rainbow Families Action Bay Area
 Somos Familia Valle
 Stonewall Democratic Club of Greater Sacramento
 Sunburst Projects
 The San Diego LGBT Community Center
 The TransLatin@Coalition
 ViiV Healthcare Company
 4 individuals

OPPOSITION: (Verified 8/20/26)

Association of California Life & Health Insurance Companies
 California Association of Health Plans

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: The Los Angeles LGBT Center, one of this bill’s cosponsors and a Federally Qualified Health Center specializing in HIV/AIDS specialty care, cites statistics indicating that uptake of HIV prevention among Black and Latine gay, bisexual, and same gender loving cisgender men and transgender women remains low despite innovations in treatment. According to this cosponsor, “commercial health plans and insurance policies cover PrEP through two pathways: the “medical benefit” and the “pharmacy benefit,” also known as the “outpatient prescription drug benefit.” For the purposes of provider reimbursement, the medical benefit typically requires providers to purchase drugs upfront, administer them to a covered patient, and then seek reimbursement after the fact. In contrast, the pharmacy benefit is adjudicated at the point of sale—coverage and reimbursement are calculated near instantaneously, and reimbursement is typically paid faster than claims made under the medical benefit. When injectable PrEP is only covered under the medical benefit, many providers are unable to offer it—particularly those that lack the resources to purchase medications upfront and seek reimbursement. As a result, patients are left with

fewer options to access the modality that works best for them.” The San Francisco AIDS Foundation, also a cosponsor, writes supporting providers by enabling them to choose the best billing pathway for their operations, and patients’ needs, can help reduce these disparities in HIV diagnoses and inequities in health care delivery. Cosponsors believe this bill will remove one more barrier to PrEP access. California Life Sciences believes this bill expands protections beyond antiretroviral drugs to also cover devices and drug products used for HIV prevention, ensuring that emerging treatment modalities are not excluded on a technicality, and it blocks pharmacy benefit managers from blocking pharmacists from dispensing PrEP or PEP.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: The California Association of Health Plans (CAHP) and the Association of California Life & Health Insurance Companies (ACLHIC) indicate that they are already required to cover antiretroviral drugs for the prevention of HIV/AIDS without step therapy or prior authorization, as required under state law. They write this bill establishes a troubling precedent by directing how health plans and insurers structure their benefit designs, an area that has traditionally been within their purview based on clinical care guidelines, actuarial considerations, and regulatory standards. According to CAHP/ACLHIC, this bill requires plans and insurers to categorize certain provider-administered drugs as outpatient prescription drug benefits, despite that they are not self-administered and are delivered in clinical settings, and reclassifying these drugs in this manner is inconsistent with long industry standards and could create operational and administrative challenges.

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 62-3, 8/24/26

AYES: Addis, Aguiar-Curry, Ahrens, Alanis, Alvarez, Arambula, Ávila Fariás, Bains, Bauer-Kahan, Bennett, Berman, Boerner, Bonta, Bryan, Calderon, Caloza, Carrillo, Connolly, Davies, Elhawary, Fong, Gabriel, Garcia, Gipson, Mark González, Haney, Harabedian, Hart, Irwin, Jackson, Kalra, Krell, Lee, Lowenthal, Muratsuchi, Nguyen, Ortega, Pacheco, Papan, Patel, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Quirk-Silva, Ramos, Ransom, Celeste Rodriguez, Michelle Rodriguez, Rogers, Blanca Rubio, Schiavo, Schultz, Sharp-Collins, Solache, Soria, Stefani, Valencia, Wallis, Ward, Wicks, Wilson, Zbur, Rivas

NOES: DeMaio, Flora, Tangipa

NO VOTE RECORDED: Castillo, Chen, Dixon, Ellis, Jeff Gonzalez, Hadwick, Hoover, Johnson, Lackey, Macedo, McKinnor, Patterson, Sanchez, Ta

Prepared by: Teri Boughton / HEALTH / (916) 651-4111

9/14/26 11:51:35

**** **END** ****