

CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS

AB 502 (Pellerin and Berman)

As Amended June 25, 2026

2/3 vote. Urgency

SUMMARY

Original Committee of Reference: ELECTIONS

Makes various modifications to an existing law that prohibits the distribution of certain campaign advertisements and other election communications that contain media that has been digitally altered in a deceptive way.

Senate Amendments

Current Committee Recommendation: Concur

The Senate amendments delete the Assembly-approved version of the bill, and instead:

- 1) Eliminate the ability of any recipient of a campaign advertisement or election communication that contains media that has been digitally altered in a deceptive way to seek relief in courts, and instead permit an individual who is falsely represented in the content to seek relief.
- 2) Provide that an advertisement or election communication containing materially deceptive content does not violate the law if a reasonable person would understand that the advertisement or communication was satire or parody.
- 3) Modify the standard for when deceptive content violates the law, so that it prohibits content that could materially affect a voter's electoral decisions or public confidence in an election's process or outcome, rather than content that is likely to harm a candidate's reputation or electoral prospects or falsely undermine confidence in an election outcome.
- 4) Provide that a candidate's portrayal of themselves doing or saying something that the candidate did not do or say is not prohibited if the content includes a specified disclaimer pursuant to existing law that the communication was generated or substantially altered using artificial intelligence (AI).
- 5) Establish rules for how disclosures must look, sound, and be displayed on campaign ads and election communications that use deceptively altered media to ensure that the disclosures are noticeable, similar to rules that apply to other disclosures that must appear on campaign advertisements.
- 6) Narrow the period during which the restrictions on deceptive communications apply.
 - a) Shorten the period from the 120 day period before an election to the 30 day period before an election in the case of a communication that contains a deceptive portrayal of a candidate or elected official.
 - b) Shorten the period from the period beginning 120 days before an election and ending 60 days after the election, to the period beginning 30 days before an election and ending 38 days after the election in the case of a communication that contains a deceptive portrayal

of an elections official or of a ballot, voting equipment, voting site, or other property related to an election.

7) Add an urgency clause, allowing this bill to take effect immediately upon enactment.

COMMENTS

As approved by the Assembly, this bill would have eliminated requirements that certain notices required by the Elections Code must be sent by registered mail and instead would have required those notices to be sent either by electronic delivery or certified mail. Subsequent to the Assembly's approval, this bill was amended in the Senate to delete the Assembly-approved provisions and to add the current provisions. In light of the substantive Senate amendments, this bill was re-referred to the Assembly Elections Committee for further consideration pursuant to Assembly Rule 77.2. On August 28, 2026, the Assembly Elections Committee recommended, by a 6-2 vote, that the Senate amendments be concurred in.

Advances in technology have made it increasingly simple to produce false and misleading media that appears authentic. Moreover, platforms like social media have facilitated the rapid dissemination of deceptive media to large audiences at minimal cost. These developments may make manipulated media a greater threat to election integrity than in the past.

In response, California has enacted multiple bills in recent years targeting deceptive campaign advertisements and elections-related communications, including AB 2839 (Pellerin), Chapter 262, Statutes of 2024, which prohibits the distribution of campaign advertisements and other election communications that contain media that has been digitally altered in a deceptive way.

AB 2839 was signed into law on September 17, 2024, and contained an urgency clause, so it took effect immediately. The same day it was signed, AB 2839 was challenged in federal court. About two weeks later, the court granted a preliminary injunction blocking most of AB 2839 from enforcement, and the court later permanently enjoined its enforcement as to the plaintiffs in that case.

In blocking the law on First Amendment grounds, the court wrote, "AB 2839 suffers from 'a compendium of traditional First Amendment infirmities,' stifling too much speech while at the same time compelling it on a selective basis. While there are serious concerns about deepfakes and AI affecting elections, California's AB 2839 represents a law that is well intentioned but constitutionally infirm. When it comes to political expression, the antidote is not prematurely stifling content creation and singling out specific speakers but encouraging counter speech, rigorous fact-checking, and the uninhibited flow of democratic discourse" (Kohls v. Bonta (2025) 797 F.Supp.3d 1177, internal citations omitted). That decision has been appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, where the case remains pending.

This bill makes various changes to AB 2839 intended to strengthen the law against constitutional challenges. Among other changes, the bill narrows the universe of individuals who have standing to challenge deceptive content; expressly provides that content a reasonable person would understand to be satire or parody is not covered by the bill; narrows the period surrounding elections during which restrictions on deceptive content apply; and modifies the standard for evaluating the harm that must be demonstrated for deceptive content to be subject to the law's restrictions.

Please see the policy committee analysis for a full discussion of this bill.

According to the Author

"AI-fueled disinformation can skew voter behavior by deceiving voters, impacting voter turnout, calling results into question, and generally undermining faith in our elections, their security, and democratic systems. Though a noteworthy example is the deepfake voice message of President Biden spread through New Hampshire to discourage voters from participating in the primary election in 2024, AI-generated disinformation is becoming more widespread in elections both in the United States and internationally. Although Governor Newsom signed AB 2839 in 2024 to prevent the distribution of digitally altered, materially deceptive campaign advertisements and other election communications during the time leading up to an election, several entities challenged the law alleging First Amendment violations, and a federal district court in Sacramento struck down the law. AB 502 seeks to narrow AB 2839 in several small but important ways to better withstand constitutional challenge and protect election integrity in the age of AI."

Arguments in Support

The sponsor of this bill, the California Initiative for Technology & Democracy, writes in support, "To limit election disinformation...the Legislature passed, and the Governor signed, AB 2839 (Pellerin), Chap. 262, Stats. 2024, which prohibited the distribution of digitally altered, materially deceptive campaign advertisements and other election communications close to an election. This bill seeks to strengthen AB [2839] in several small but important ways to better withstand constitutional challenge. First, it clarifies that the requirement to label satire does not apply if the content truly is satire – that is, if a reasonable person would recognize that it is satire. If a reasonable person would not and the content is materially deceptive, then it must be labeled as such. Second, the bill limits who can seek relief to only those depicted in the fraudulent material, as well as candidates and committees participating in the election. Finally, the bill makes the labeling provisions of the bill consistent with other laws, including...AB 2355 (Carrillo), Chap. 260, Stats. 2024. These cleanup changes will make it easier for a court to uphold key provisions of...AB 2839 and better protect election integrity in California."

Arguments in Opposition

In a joint letter of opposition, the Electronic Frontier Foundation and the First Amendment Coalition wrote, "We respectfully oppose A.B. 502...which seeks to address the constitutional deficiencies of A.B. 2839. Unfortunately, it fails to do so. Meanwhile, a federal district court has stayed enforcement of A.B. 2839, and the language to be amended by A.B. 502 is subject to active federal litigation. Moreover, A.B. 502 is unconstitutional on its own merits. A.B. 502 seeks to amend existing disclosure requirements and to narrow the scope of who can sue for violations of the statute. In doing so, A.B. 502 would still impose disclosure requirements to otherwise protected speech. A.B. 502 would reach some entities who did not create the content of concern and thus does not reflect the full First Amendment protection due republication of speech pertaining to matters of public interest by those not connected with the creation of the offending material. The First Amendment requires distinguishing between those who create synthetic media and those not directly involved in it...A.B. 502 does not pass constitutional muster on its own or as a revision to A.B. 2839, which is currently mired in active litigation."

FISCAL COMMENTS

None. This bill is keyed non-fiscal by the Legislative Counsel.

VOTES

ASM ELECTIONS: Vote not relevant
YES:

ASM APPROPRIATIONS: Vote not relevant
YES:
ABS, ABST OR NV:

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: Vote not relevant
YES:
ABS, ABST OR NV:

SENATE FLOOR: Vote not relevant
YES:
NO:
ABS, ABST OR NV:

ASM ELECTIONS: 6-2-0
YES: Pellerin, Bennett, Berman, Elhawary, Solache, Stefani
NO: Johnson, Ellis

UPDATED

VERSION: June 25, 2026

CONSULTANT: Ethan Jones / ELECTIONS / (916) 319-2094

FN: 0004444