SENATE RULES COMMITTEE

Office of Senate Floor Analyses

(916) 651-1520 Fax: (916) 327-4478

THIRD READING

Bill No: AB 263

Author: Rogers (D), et al. Amended: 6/11/25 in Senate

Vote: 21

SENATE NATURAL RES. & WATER COMMITTEE: 6-0, 6/10/25

AYES: Limón, Seyarto, Allen, Hurtado, Laird, Stern

NO VOTE RECORDED: Grove

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE: Senate Rule 28.8

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 56-17, 5/5/25 - See last page for vote

SUBJECT: Scott River: Shasta River: watersheds

SOURCE: California Coastkeeper Alliance, Karuk Tribe, and Yurok Tribe

DIGEST: This bill extends the operation of specified emergency regulations adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) for the Scott River and Shasta River watersheds to January 1, 2031, or until the State Water Board adopts permanent rules establishing and implementing long-term instream flow requirements in the watersheds, whichever occurs first.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

1) Authorizes the State Water Board to adopt emergency regulations during times of drought to enforce the reasonable use doctrine, promote water recycling or conservation, curtail diversions due to lack of water availability, or to require reporting on water use. Provides such emergency regulations are not subject to review by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) and may only remain in effect for one year. (Water Code (Wat. C.) §1058.5)

2) Provides the adoption, amendment, or repeal of an emergency regulation is not subject to review by OAL. An emergency regulation must still be filed with OAL and takes effect once such filing occurs. Requires the adopting agency to notify interested parties of the pending adoption of an emergency regulation at least five days before submitting the emergency regulation to OAL. (Government Code (Gov. C.) §11346.1)

This bill extends the operation of specified emergency regulations adopted by the State Water Board for the Scott River and Shasta River watersheds to January 1, 2031, or until the State Water Board adopts permanent rules establishing and implementing long-term instream flow requirements in the watersheds, whichever occurs first.

Background

Scott and Shasta tributaries. The Scott and Shasta are important tributaries to the Klamath River, the second largest river in California. These rivers are crucial sources of water for Siskiyou County and have immense economic, ecological, and cultural importance. Siskiyou County is home to 43,500 people. The Scott and Shasta watersheds provide water for agriculture, domestic users, the environment, fire protection, municipalities, Tribal Nations, and recreation. Both rivers provide habitat for commercially significant and culturally important fall-run Chinook salmon, steelhead, and Coho salmon (listed as threatened under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) and California ESA).

These fisheries have declined substantially compared to historical levels. According to the State Water Board's *Finding of Emergency and Informative Digest: Proposed Scott River and Shasta River Watersheds Emergency Regulation* (Informative Digest), published January 2025, populations of Coho salmon in the Klamath River have declined between 52% and 95%; fall-run Chinook salmon populations have declined between 92% and 96%, spring-run Chinook salmon have declined 98%, and steelhead populations have declined 61%. In May 2021, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) recommended that the State Water Board develop permanent flow standards to protect public trust resources on the Scott River; likewise, in July 2023, CDFW expressed support for the establishment of minimum flows for both the Scott and Shasta Rivers to protect fish populations against further decline.

Importance of fisheries to tribes. Salmon are an essential resource and of cultural significance to Tribes in the Klamath River watershed, including the Yurok Tribe, Karuk Tribe, Quartz Valley Indian Reservation, and Hoopa Valley Tribe. Salmon

populations support tribal subsistence, as well as traditional and ceremonial practices. In recent years, Tribes have severely restricted or closed subsistence, commercial, and ceremonial fisheries. For example, since 2015 the Yurok Tribe has closed its commercial fishery all but one year to preserve fish runs. Additionally, Yurok Tribal leaders decided not to serve salmon at the Tribe's 2023 Klamath Salmon Festival, for the third time since 2016, because the Klamath River's forecasted fish run was one of the lowest on record. According to the *Informative Digest*, the elimination of traditional foods has had adverse impacts on the Karuk Tribe, including adverse health, social, economic, and spiritual effects.

Emergency drought regulations. On May 10, 2021, Governor Newsom declared a drought emergency for 41 counties, including Siskiyou County, where accelerated action was needed to protect public health, safety, as well as the environment. Due to the drought emergency, the State Water Board adopted emergency regulations setting minimum flows on the Scott and Shasta Rivers in August 2021 to protect fish and maintain water quality. These emergency regulations were readopted in 2022, 2024, and earlier this year (emergency regulations can remain in effect for up to one year). While Governor Newsom signed an executive order removing emergency drought provisions in many counties on September 5, 2024, the drought emergency in Siskiyou County remained in place due to continuing dry conditions in the region. On January 7, 2025, the State Water Board readopted an emergency regulation for the Scott and Shasta River Watersheds. The OAL approved the emergency regulation on January 27, 2025, and the emergency regulation will remain in effect through January 27, 2026, unless re-adopted or rescinded.

Economic impact of emergency regulations. According to the State Water Board's fiscal impact analysis of the *Informative Digest*, the estimated loss in revenue (income before expenses are subtracted) to municipal water suppliers from the proposed Emergency Regulation is estimated to be \$765,752 (\$1,629.26 per acrefeet of water multiplied by 470 acre-feet) for the expected-range scenario, \$972,668 (\$1,629.26 per acre-feet of water multiplied by 597 acre-feet) for the extreme-drought scenario, and \$286,750 (\$1,629.26 per acre-feet of water multiplied by 176 acre-feet) for the above-average scenario. Out of an estimated total crop revenue of \$316,125,604, the loss in crop sales revenue in 2024 in the Scott and Shasta River watersheds is estimated to be \$5,994,000 for the expected-range scenario, \$10,014,122 for the extreme-drought scenario, and \$152,393 for the above-average scenario.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: No

SUPPORT: (Verified 6/23/25)

California Coastkeeper Alliance (co-source)

Karuk Tribe (co-source)

Yurok Tribe (co-source)

Amah Mutsun Tribal Band

Anchored in Trinidad

Audobon California

California Environmental Voters

California Native Plant Society, Alta Peak Chapter

California Sportfishing Protection Alliance

California Tribal Chairpersons' Association

CalWild

Center for Biological Diversity

Clean Water Action

Cleanearth4kids.org

Community Water Center

Defenders of Wildlife

Endangered Habitats League

Environmental Defense Fund, Incorporated

Environmental Law Foundation

Environmental Protection Information Center

Friends Committee on Legislation of California

Friends of the Eel River

Friends of the Inyo

Friends of the River

Friends of the Shasta River

Golden Gate Salmon Association

Green Policy Initiative

Humboldt Waterkeeper

Humboldt; County of

Inland Empire Waterkeeper

Karmic Action Retribution Management Agency

Los Angeles Waterkeeper

Mendocino Producers Guild

Mid Klamath Watershed Council

Monterey Coastkeeper

Mount Shasta Bioregional Ecology Center

National Parks Conservation Association

Native Fish Society

Northern California Tribal Chairperson's Association

Orange County Coastkeeper

Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations

Planning and Conservation League

Resource Renewal Institute

San Diego Coastkeeper

Santa Barbara Channelkeeper

Save California Salmon

Shasta Waterkeeper

Sierra Club California

Sierra Nevada Alliance

South Yuba River Citizens League

The Fire Restoration Group

The Nature Conservancy

Trout Unlimited

Union of Concerned Scientists

Water Climate Trust

Watershed Research & Training Center

Wholly H2O

Yuba River Waterkeeper

OPPOSITION: (Verified 6/23/25)

Association of California Water Agencies

California Chamber of Commerce

California Farm Bureau Federation

California Municipal Utilities Association

Milk Producers Council

Regional Water Authority

San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

Scott Valley Agriculture Water Alliance

Siskiyou County Board of Supervisors

Siskiyou County Farm Bureau

Siskiyou Economic Development

Valley Ag Water Coalition

Western Growers Association

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:

According to the author, "I'm proud to stand with the fisherfolk and Tribes of the North Coast to protect California's fisheries. We have made tremendous strides to restore the salmon runs in the Klamath River but more must be done further upstream to ensure salmon populations can grow and flourish. This bill simply maintains the current status quo for the next 5 years, or until long term regulations

are finalized, whichever occurs sooner. This legislation will protect some of the most critical salmon habitat in California and will complement the restoration efforts associated with Klamath dam removal. This a matter of survival for salmon, tribal residents, and the historic fishing industry that is a centerpiece of the North Coast's unique culture."

According to the Karuk Tribe, one of the cosponsors of the bill, if the emergency drought declaration is lifted and the emergency drought regulations expire, there will be "no flow protections while the [State] Water Board promulgates permanent regulations which could take years. While flows naturally are at their lowest during a drought, we note that flows in both the Scott and Shasta consistently dip below levels deemed to be the minimum necessary for fish survival even in average water years due to excessive diversions and groundwater pumping. Given the real risk of extinction, we cannot afford to not have flow regulations in place. AB 263 addresses this by ensuring the emergency regulations will stay in place until long-term regulations can be established."

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION:

Writing in opposition, California Farm Bureau, California Municipal Utilities Association, and Western Growers Association, among others, express concern that AB 263 "would establish in statute the continuation of the January 7, 2025, emergency order for the Scott and Shasta rivers regardless of regional hydrologic conditions, would undermine efforts at the State Water Board to establish permanent regulations for these watersheds, would circumvent public process protections in the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), would set a troubling precedent, and would undermine the current local collaborative process." The coalition expresses concern that the bill "may unnecessarily prolong [the effort to move away from the emergency regulation process and toward adoption of permanent regulations for the Scott and Shasta watersheds] by removing the incentive for the State Water Board to act quickly.

The County of Siskiyou expressed similar concerns, arguing that AB 263 would "circumvent public participation, which would undermine current local collaborative processes that are intended to incorporate all stakeholders." The County points out that "Governor Newsom's proclamation currently remains in place for Siskiyou County and the Klamath Basin" even though "the entirety of Siskiyou County is categorized as 'None' on the drought intensity scale" according to the U.S. Drought Monitor. Also according to the letter, "the California Nevada River Forecast Center indicated that the Scott and Shasta watersheds have received well over 100% of average annual precipitation during the 2025 water year so far."

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 56-17, 5/5/25

AYES: Addis, Ahrens, Alvarez, Arambula, Ávila Farías, Bauer-Kahan, Bennett, Berman, Boerner, Bonta, Bryan, Calderon, Caloza, Carrillo, Connolly, Elhawary, Fong, Gabriel, Garcia, Gipson, Mark González, Haney, Harabedian, Hart, Irwin, Jackson, Kalra, Krell, Lee, Lowenthal, McKinnor, Muratsuchi, Nguyen, Ortega, Pacheco, Papan, Patel, Pellerin, Petrie-Norris, Quirk-Silva, Ramos, Ransom, Celeste Rodriguez, Michelle Rodriguez, Rogers, Schiavo, Schultz, Sharp-Collins, Soria, Stefani, Valencia, Ward, Wicks, Wilson, Zbur, Rivas

NOES: Alanis, Castillo, Chen, Davies, DeMaio, Dixon, Gallagher, Jeff Gonzalez, Hadwick, Hoover, Lackey, Macedo, Patterson, Sanchez, Ta, Tangipa, Wallis NO VOTE RECORDED: Aguiar-Curry, Bains, Ellis, Flora, Blanca Rubio, Solache

Prepared by: Genevieve Wong / N.R. & W. / (916) 651-4116 6/24/25 16:32:48

**** END ****