
THIRD READING

Bill No: AB 1579
Author: Valencia (D)
Amended: 7/2/26 in Senate
Vote: 27 - Urgency

PRIOR VOTES NOT RELEVANT

NOTE: On August 11, 2026, the Senate Governmental Organization Committee held an informational hearing on the second amendment to the tribal-state compact between the State of California and the Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation.

SUBJECT: Tribal gaming: compact ratification

SOURCE: Author

DIGEST: This bill ratifies the second amendment to the Tribal-State Compact between the State of California and Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation, executed on June 23, 2026.

ANALYSIS:

Existing law:

- 1) Provides, under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA), for the negotiation and conclusion of compacts between federally recognized Indian tribes and the State for the purpose of conducting Class III gaming activities on Indian lands within a State as a means of promoting tribal economic development, self-sufficiency, and strong tribal governments.
- 2) Authorizes expressly a number of tribal-state gaming compacts between the State of California and specified Indian tribes.
- 3) Authorizes the conduct of Class III gaming activities to the extent such activities are permitted by state law, a gaming compact has been concluded by a federally recognized tribe and the State, and the compact has been approved by the Secretary of the Interior.

- 4) Limits the operation of Class III gaming activities to Indian lands acquired on or before October 17, 1988. Provides, for certain exceptions to conduct gaming activities on Indian lands acquired after October 17, 1988.
- 5) Defines “Indian lands” to mean all lands within the limits of any Indian reservation, and any lands title to which is either held in trust by the United States for the benefit of any Indian tribe, or individual, or held by any Indian tribe or individual subject to restriction by the U.S. against alienation and over which an Indian tribe exercises governmental power.
- 6) Requires the State to negotiate to conclude a compact in good faith with an Indian tribe having jurisdiction over the Indian lands upon which the Class III gaming activity is to be conducted. Provides the U.S. district courts with jurisdiction over any cause of action initiated by a tribal government alleging that the State failed to negotiate in good faith to conclude a compact. Prescribes the remedy, mediation supervised by the courts, if it is found that the State failed to negotiate in good faith to conclude a compact.
- 7) Authorizes the Governor, under the California Constitution, to negotiate and conclude compacts, subject to ratification by the Legislature.

This bill:

- 1) Ratifies second amendment to the tribal-state compact between the State of California and Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation, executed on June 23, 2026.
- 2) Contains an urgency clause.

Background

Second Amendment to the Tribal-State Compact Between the State of California and the Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation. The Second Amendment to the Tribal-State Gaming Compact (hereafter “Amended Compact”) between the State of California and Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation (hereafter “Tribe”) was executed on June 23, 2026. The Amended Compact amends an existing 2016 compact, to avoid potential litigation after the Ninth Circuit’s decision in Chicken Ranch. The Amended Compact allows the Tribe to operate an additional 1,000 machines. The Amended Compact also includes an approximate 10-year extension in exchange for the Tribe agreeing not to challenge the revenue terms during the life of the compact. Additionally, the Tribe has agreed to pay into the Revenue Sharing Trust Fund (RSTF) an additional amount of up to \$2,530,000 based on the daily average of slot machines in operation.

In 2016, the Tribe entered into a new tribal-state compact [ratified by AB 466 (Brown), Chapter 285, Statutes of 2016] that authorized the Tribe to operate up to 7,500 slot machines at no more than two gaming facilities located on those Indian lands held in trust for the Tribe. Under the terms of the 2016 Compact, the Tribe has agreed to pay into the Special Distribution Fund (SDF) its pro rata share of costs the State incurs for the performance of its duties under the Compact plus additional amounts (\$500,000 up to a total of \$3 million) to cover a portion of the share of regulatory costs for smaller gaming tribes. The Tribe also agreed to pay \$19 million annually into the RSTF or the Tribal Nation Grant Fund (TNGF), to be shared with tribes that are not gaming or that otherwise are not substantially benefiting from gaming.

The Yuhaaviatam (yu-HAH-vee-ah-tahm) of San Manuel Nations is a federally recognized Indian tribe located near the City of Highland, California. The Tribe currently operates the Yaamava' Resort & Casino, which includes nearly 7,500 slot machines, approximately 150 tables, various restaurants, as well as other amenities. The Tribe is among the top employers in the County of San Bernardino, employing more than 7,500 people.

Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. In 1988, Congress enacted IGRA to provide a statutory basis for the operation and regulation of gaming on Indian lands. IGRA provides that an Indian tribe may conduct gaming activity on Indian lands if the activity “is not specifically prohibited by federal law and is conducted within a State which does not prohibit such gaming activity.”

IGRA distinguishes between three classes of gaming (Class I, Class II, and Class III) and provides for different forms of regulation for each class. Class I gaming includes “social games” for minor prizes or “traditional forms of Indian gaming.” Class II gaming is defined to include bingo and card games that are explicitly authorized by the laws of the state, or that are not explicitly prohibited by the laws of the state and are played at any location in the State, so long as the card games are played in conformity with those laws and regulations. Class III gaming includes such things as slot machines, casino games, and banked card games such as black jack and baccarat. Class III gaming may only be conducted under terms of a compact negotiated between an Indian tribe and a State.

IGRA was enacted against a legal background in which Indian tribes and individuals generally are exempt from state taxation within their own territory. IGRA provides that with the exception of assessments permitted under the statute, to defray the State’s costs of regulating gaming activity, IGRA shall not be

interpreted as conferring upon a State authority to impose any tax, fee, charge, or other assessment upon an Indian tribe to engage in Class III activity. Nor may a State refuse to enter into negotiations based on the lack of authority to impose such a tax, fee, charge, or other assessment.

When a tribe requests negotiations for a Class III compact, IGRA requires the State to negotiate with the Indian tribe in good faith. IGRA provides a comprehensive process to prevent an impasse in compact negotiations, which is triggered when a tribe files suit alleging that the State has refused to negotiate or has failed to negotiate in good faith.

Before 2000, the California Constitution prohibited Class III gaming. In 2000, California voters approved Proposition 1A, which had been proposed by the Governor and passed by the Legislature. Proposition 1A amended the California Constitution to permit the State to negotiate compacts with federally recognized Indian tribes for certain Class III gaming activities. Because non-Indian parties were still forbidden from operating gaming facilities, Proposition 1A granted Indian tribes a “constitutionally protected monopoly on most types of Class III games in California.”

Chicken Ranch Decision. In 2021, the Chicken Ranch Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians, along with four other tribes—the Blue Lake Rancheria, Chemehuevi Indian Tribe, Hopland Band of Pomo Indians, and Robinson Rancheria—filed suit against the State of California and Governor Gavin Newsom. The tribes alleged that the state violated IGRA by failing to negotiate new Class III gaming compacts in good faith.

According to the complaint, California insisted on compact terms covering family law, environmental regulation, and tort liability—subjects that the tribes argued were outside IGRA’s limited scope, which allows negotiation only on matters directly related to the operation of gaming activities. In July 2022, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the district court’s finding that California’s demands exceeded IGRA’s bounds and therefore constituted bad-faith negotiation. The court ordered the parties to move forward under IGRA’s remedial process. Under that process, if the parties are ultimately not able to agree on a compact, a mediator reviews each side’s “last best offer” and selects the proposal that best aligns with federal law, and the U.S. Department of the Interior (through the Assistant Secretary for Indian Affairs) issues Secretarial Procedures regulating the tribe’s gaming operation without state involvement. This outcome was viewed by Indian tribes as a significant affirmation of tribal sovereignty and a rejection of

state overreach in tribal gaming regulation. The Governor's office believes the terms of this Compact are consistent with this court decision.

Revenue Sharing Trust Fund. Existing law creates in the State Treasury the RSTF for the receipt and deposit of monies derived from gaming device license fees that are paid into the RSTF pursuant to the terms of specified tribal-state gaming compacts for the purpose of making distributions to non-compacted California tribes (e.g., federally-recognized non-gaming tribes and tribes that operate casinos with fewer than 350 slot machines). Revenue in the RSTF is available to CGCC, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for making distributions of \$1.1 million annually to non-compact tribes. The RSTF was created as part of the 1999 compacts, which, in conjunction with the passage of Proposition 1A, created gaming compacts with approximately 60 California tribes. Non-compact tribes are considered third-party beneficiaries of the 1999 compacts.

Tribal Nation Grant Fund. This particular fund (referenced in recent compacts) was created to complement the RSTF and provides for the distribution of funds to non-gaming tribes, upon application of such tribes for purposes related to effective self-governance, self-determined community, and economic development. Payments from this fund are intended to be made to non-gaming tribes on a "need" basis, upon application.

FISCAL EFFECT: Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: No

SUPPORT: (Verified 8/11/26)

California Chamber of Commerce
California State Council of Laborers
City of San Bernardino
City of Grand Terrace
City of Highland
Communications Workers of America Local 9400
Dawn Rowe, Third District Supervisor, San Bernardino County
League of California Cities, Desert Mountain Division
League of California Cities, Inland Empire Division
San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office
San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department
Sheriff's Employee Benefits Association
Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation

OPPOSITION: (Verified 8/11/26)

None received

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: According to the Yuhaaviatam of San Manuel Nation, “AB 1579 represents a balanced and responsible agreement that advances the principles of tribal self-governance, economic self-sufficiency, and government to government collaboration that have long been a positive basis for California’s relationship with tribal nations. This compact amendment will allow the Nation to continue planning its future and ensure we maintain our self-reliance and not only provide for our tribal citizens but also continue the philanthropy and economic development and opportunity we have fostered across Southern California.”

Prepared by: Felipe Lopez / G.O. / (916) 651-1530
8/12/26 16:51:09

**** **END** ****