Amendment - 1st Reading-white - Requested by: Braxton Mitchell - (H) Judiciary

- 2025

69th Legislature 2025 Drafter: Rachel Weiss, HB0178.001.003

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 178		
2	INTRODUCED BY B. MITCHELL, D. ZOLNIKOV, C. HINKLE, G. LAMMERS, J. GILLETTE, T. FALK, K.		
3	BOGNER		
4			
5	A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT LIMITING THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		
6	BY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT; PROHIBITING CERTAIN USES OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE		
7	SYSTEMS; REQUIRING DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN USES OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS;		
8	REQUIRING CERTAIN DECISIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS TO BE REVIEWED BY A HUMAN IN AN		
9	APPROPRIATE RESPONSIBLE POSITION; AND PROVIDING DEFINITIONS."		
10			
11	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:		
12			
13	NEW SECTION. Section 1. Definit	tions. As used in [sections 1 through 4], unless the context clearly	
14	indicates otherwise, the following definitions apply:		
15	(1) "Artificial intelligence system"	' means a machine learning-based system that, for any explicit or	
16	implicit objective, infers from the inputs the system receives how to generate outputs, including but not limited		
17	to content, decisions, predictions, and recommendations that can influence physical or virtual environments.		
18	(2) "Government entity" has the	same meaning <u>as</u> provided in 46-5-110.	
19	(3) "Human in an appropriate res	sponsible position" means a human person who is a state officer or	
20	a public employee as those terms are defined in 2-2-102 who has reasonable training in the uses and		
21	limitations of artificial intelligence systems and is in a position to modify or reject the particular use of an artificial		
22	intelligence system.		
23	(4) "Peace officer" has the same	meaning as provided in 45-2-101.	
24	(4)(5) "State officer" has the same	meaning <u>as</u> provided in 2-2-102.	
25			
26	NEW SECTION. Section 2. Prohib	oited use of artificial intelligence by government entity. A	
27	government entity or state officer may not use an artificial intelligence system:		
28	(1) for the cognitive behavioral n	nanipulation of a person or group;	



Amendment - 1st Reading-white - Requested by: Braxton Mitchell - (H) Judiciary

- 2025

69th Legislature 2025 Drafter: Rachel Weiss, HB0178.001.003

1	(2)	to classify a person or group based on behavior, socioeconomic status, or personal	
2	characteristics resulting in unlawful discrimination or a disparate impact on a person or group based on an		
3	actual or perceived differentiating characteristic;		
4	(3)	for a deceptive or malicious purpose; <u>or</u>	
5	(4)	for surveillance of public spaces, except:	
6	(a)	to locate a missing, high-risk, endangered, or wanted person; or	
7	(b)	in conformity with Title 44, chapter 15, part 1, regarding continuous facial surveillance.	
8			
9	NEW S	SECTION. Section 3. Required disclosures by government entities exemption. (1)-If	
10	Except as prov	ided in subsection (2):	
11	<u>(a)</u>	if a government entity or state officer publishes material produced by an artificial intelligence	
12	system that is	not reviewed by a human in an appropriate responsible position, the material must be	
13	accompanied by a disclosure that the material was produced by an artificial intelligence system-; and		
14	(2) (b)	# <u>if</u> a government entity or state officer has an interface with the public that uses an artificial	
15	intelligence system, the use of the artificial intelligence system in the interface must be disclosed.		
16	<u>(2)</u>	A peace officer acting in the peace officer's official capacity to investigate crimes is exempt	
17	from a disclosu	re requirement in subsection (1) when the disclosure may result in:	
18	<u>(a)</u>	endangerment of the life or physical safety of an individual;	
19	<u>(b)</u>	flight from prosecution;	
20	<u>(c)</u>	destruction or tampering with evidence;	
21	<u>(d)</u>	intimidation of potential witnesses; or	
22	<u>(e)</u>	otherwise seriously jeopardizing an investigation or unduly delaying a trial.	
23			
24	NEW S	SECTION. Section 4. Required review. If an artificial intelligence system produces a	
25	recommendation or decision for a government entity or state officer that could impact the rights, duties, or		
26	privileges and immunities of a person, the recommendation or decision must be reviewed and is subject to		
27	rejection or modification by a human in an appropriate responsible position.		



28