Amendment - 1st Reading	g-white - Requested	by: Braxton Mitch	ell - (H) Judiciary

- 2025	
69th Legislature	2025

Drafter: Rachel Weiss,

HB0178.001.003

1	HOUSE BILL NO. 178				
2	INTRODUCED BY B. MITCHELL, D. ZOLNIKOV, C. HINKLE, G. LAMMERS, J. GILLETTE, T. FALK, K.				
3	BOGNER				
4					
5	A BILL FOR AN	ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT LIMITING THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS			
6	BY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT; PROHIBITING CERTAIN USES OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE				
7	SYSTEMS; REQUIRING DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN USES OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS;				
8	REQUIRING CERTAIN DECISIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS TO BE REVIEWED BY A HUMAN IN AN				
9	APPROPRIATE	RESPONSIBLE POSITION; AND PROVIDING DEFINITIONS."			
10					
11	BE IT ENACTEI	D BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:			
12					
13	NEW SI	ECTION. Section 1. Definitions. As used in [sections 1 through 4], unless the context clearly			
14	indicates otherw	vise, the following definitions apply:			
15	(1)	"Artificial intelligence system" means a machine learning-based system that, for any explicit or			
16	implicit objective	e, infers from the inputs the system receives how to generate outputs, including but not limited			
17	to content, decis	sions, predictions, and recommendations that can influence physical or virtual environments.			
18	(2)	"Government entity" has the same meaning as provided in 46-5-110.			
19	(3)	"Human in an appropriate responsible position" means a human person who is a state officer or			
20	a public employ	ee as those terms are defined in 2-2-102 who has reasonable training in the uses and			
21	limitations of artificial intelligence systems and is in a position to modify or reject the particular use of an artificial				
22	intelligence syst	em.			
23	<u>(4)</u>	"Peace officer" has the same meaning as provided in 45-2-101.			
24	(4)<u>(5)</u>	"State officer" has the same meaning as provided in 2-2-102.			
25					
26	NEW SI	ECTION. Section 2. Prohibited use of artificial intelligence by government entity. A			
27	government entity or state officer may not use an artificial intelligence system:				
28	(1)	for the cognitive behavioral manipulation of a person or group;			



Amendment - 1st Reading-white - Requested by: Braxton Mitchell - (H) Judiciary

- 2025 69th Legislature 2025

Drafter: Rachel Weiss,

HB0178.001.003

1	(2)	to classify a person or group based on behavior, socioeconomic status, or personal		
2	characteristics resulting in unlawful discrimination or a disparate impact on a person or group based on an			
3	actual or perceived differentiating characteristic;			
4	(3)	for a deceptive or malicious purpose; or		
5	(4)	for surveillance of public spaces, except:		
6	(a)	to locate a missing, high-risk, endangered, or wanted person; or		
7	(b)	in conformity with Title 44, chapter 15, part 1, regarding continuous facial surveillance.		
8				
9	NEW S	SECTION. Section 3. Required disclosures by government entities exemption. (1)-If		
10	Except as prov	ided in subsection (2):		
11	<u>(a)</u>	if a government entity or state officer publishes material produced by an artificial intelligence		
12	system that is	not reviewed by a human in an appropriate responsible position, the material must be		
13	accompanied b	by a disclosure that the material was produced by an artificial intelligence system .; and		
14	(2)<u>(</u>b)	If if a government entity or state officer has an interface with the public that uses an artificial		
15	intelligence sys	stem, the use of the artificial intelligence system in the interface must be disclosed.		
16	<u>(2)</u>	A peace officer acting in the peace officer's official capacity to investigate crimes is exempt		
17	from a disclosu	re requirement in subsection (1) when the disclosure may result in:		
18	<u>(a)</u>	endangerment of the life or physical safety of an individual;		
19	<u>(b)</u>	flight from prosecution;		
20	<u>(c)</u>	destruction or tampering with evidence;		
21	<u>(d)</u>	intimidation of potential witnesses; or		
22	<u>(e)</u>	otherwise seriously jeopardizing an investigation or unduly delaying a trial.		
23				
24	NEW S	SECTION. Section 4. Required review. If an artificial intelligence system produces a		
25	recommendation	on or decision for a government entity or state officer that could impact the rights, duties, or		
26	privileges and	immunities of a person, the recommendation or decision must be reviewed and is subject to		
27	rejection or mo	dification by a human in an appropriate responsible position.		
28				

Legislative Services Division

Amendment - 1st Reading-white - Requested by: Braxton Mitchell - (H) Judiciary - 2025						
69th Legislature 2025		Drafter: Rachel Weiss,	HB0178.001.003			
1	NEW SECTION. Section 5	. Codification instruction. [Sections 1 thr	ough 4] are intended to be			
2	codified as an integral part of Title 2	2, and the provisions of Title 2 apply to [secti	ons 1 through 4].			
3						
4	NEW SECTION. Section 6	. Severability. If a part of [this act] is invali	d, all valid parts that are			
5	severable from the invalid part remain in effect. If a part of [this act] is invalid in one or more of its applications,					
6	the part remains in effect in all valid applications that are severable from the invalid applications.					
7		- END -				

- END -

